ME EN 7540	Plastic Bending of a Clamped Beam	Spring 2006
------------	-----------------------------------	-------------

Example 1

In this example, we will investigate the behavior of a cantilever beam under larger deflection. When the model undergoes larger deflection, the basic analysis that is often used in ANSYS is no longer sufficient. The load has to be broken down in to small steps (load steps) and the stiffness matrix is then updated each tim using the the result from the previous load step. Theoretical details on geometric nonlinearity can be found in the class handout, hence, we will only focus on how to perform such analysis using ANSYS here. The model to be analyzed in this example is illustrated in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Cantilever beam sketch

Material Properties	Geometric Properties	Loading
$E = 1 x 10^6 psi$	$\ell = 100 \text{ in}$	$P = 400 \ lb$
$= 5.8 \text{ x } 10^6 \text{ psi}$	b = 2 in	
	h = 2.5 in	

Input Listing

/PREP7 /TITLE, GEOMETRICALLY NONLINEAR ANALYSIS OF A CANTILEVER BEAM ET,1,42 !this option allows for the analysis of plane stress with thickness KEYOPT,1,3,3 R,1,2 !material properties MP,EX,1,1E6 MP,PRXY,,0.3 !model of the structure K,1,0,0 K,2,100,0 K,3,100,2.5 K,4,0,2.5 L,1,2,100 L,2,3,2 L,3,4,100 L,4,1,2 A,1,2,3,4 !mesh the areas AMESH,ALL !apply constraints to the left end NSEL,S,LOC,X,0,0 D,ALL,ALL,0 !apply load to the top-right corner NSEL,S,LOC,X,100,100 NSEL,R,LOC,Y,2.5,2.5 F,ALL,FY,-400 NSEL,ALL FINISH /SOLU ANTYPE,0 !here we allow ANSYS to control the step size AUTOTS,0 !set the option so that this analysis is for large deformation NLGEOM,1 !set number of substep to 100 NSUBST,100 solve fini /post1 pldisp,1 fini !here we run the analysis again without using the large deformation feature of ANSYS /SOLU ANTYPE,0 NLGEOM,0 NSUBST,0 SOLVE FINI /POST1 PLDISP,1 FINI

Results

The plot of the deformations of the cantilever beam is shown in Figure 2 and 3 for nonlinear and linear analysis, repectively.



Figure 2 Deformation using nonlinear analysis.



Figure 3 Deformation using linear analysis.

Example 2

A wide-flanged I-beam of length l, with clamped ends, is uniformly loaded as shown. Investigate the behavior of the beam at load w₁ when pronounced plastic yielding has occurred. The beam's cross-section is shown in Figure 3.



Beam Cross-Section and Real Constant Input Sequence



Material Properties	Geometric Properties	Loading
$E = 29 x 10^6 psi$	$\ell = 144 \text{ in}$	$w_1 = 9039 lb/in$
$E_{\rm T} = 5.8 \text{ x } 10^6 \text{ psi}$	b = 10 in	
$\sigma_{y} = 38,000 \text{ psi}$	h = 10.6 in	
	$t_{\rm f} = 0.9415$ in	
	$t_w = 0.0001$ in	

Analysis Assumptions and Modeling Notes

The beam cross-section is modeled as an idealized section to compare with the assumptions of the analytical solution. The loading is assumed to be applied through the centroid of the element cross-section (the neutral axis). Only half the beam is modeled, taking advantage of symmetry. Classical bilinear kinematic hardening behavior is used.

Figure 4 Clamped I-Beam Problem Sketch.

Input Listing

/PREP7 MP,PRXY,,0.3 /TITLE, PLASTIC BENDING OF A CLAMPED I-BEAM ANTYPE,STATIC

PLASTIC BEAM WITH CENTROID AT NODES ET,1,BEAM24,,,1

! BEAM SECTION PROPERTIES R,1,0,0,0,10,0,9415 RMORE,5,0,0,5,10.6,.0001 RMORE,0,10.6,0,10,10.6,.9415

! BILINEAR KINEMATIC HARDENING BEHAVIOR MP,EX,1,29E6 TB,BKIN,1,1 TBTEMP,0.0

! YIELD STRESSES AND TANGENT MODULUS TBDATA,1,38000,5.8E6 N,1 N,10,72 FILL N,100,,,1

E,1,2,100 *REPEAT,9,1,1

! FIX ONE END D,1,ALL

! SYMMETRIC MID-SPAN B.C. D,10,ROTY,,,,,UY,ROTX,ROTZ FINISH

/SOLU SOLCONTROL,0 SFBEAM,1,1,PRES,9039 *REPEAT,9,1 SOLVE FINI

/POST1 etable,MI,smisc,5 etable,MJ,smisc,11 pretab,MI,MJ prdisp fini

Results

Refer to nodes and elements in Figure 4.

Element	Moment about y at	Moment about y at	
	node I (lb-in)	node J (lb-in)	
1	0.14972E+08	0.10055E+08	
2	0.10055E+08	0.57162E+07	
3	0.57099E+07	0.19499E+07	
4	0.19559E+07	-0.12258E+07	
5	-0.12283E+07	-0.38318E+07	
6	-0.38288E+07	-0.58537E+07	
7	-0.58537E+07	-0.73000E+07	
8	-0.73000E+07	-0.81677E+07	
9	-0.81677E+07	-0.84570E+07	

Element Solution

Nodal Displacement in global coordinate

				ROTX	ROTY	ROTZ
NODE	UX (in)	UY (in)	UZ (in)	(rad)	(rad)	(rad)
1	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	-3.04E-17	8.60E-17	-0.10733	6.12E-17	2.47E-02	1.14E-17
3	-4.54E-17	1.37E-16	-0.36293	1.06E-16	3.73E-02	1.21E-18
4	-4.75E-17	1.30E-16	-0.68135	1.32E-16	4.13E-02	-1.84E-18
5	-4.76E-17	1.13E-16	-1.0135	1.44E-16	4.15E-02	-2.42E-18
6	-4.63E-17	9.24E-17	-1.3405	1.48E-16	4.01E-02	-2.66E-18
7	-4.02E-17	7.21E-17	-1.6457	1.36E-16	3.53E-02	-2.46E-18
8	-3.00E-17	4.16E-17	-1.8938	1.08E-16	2.61E-02	-1.16E-18
9	-1.00E-17	2.35E-17	-2.0548	6.21E-17	1.38E-02	-5.14E-18
10	-1.69E-17	0	-2.1104	0	0	0