

Derivation of equation for  $\overline{u_i' u_j'}$

$$u_j' \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} = \dots \quad \leftarrow \text{r.h.s N.S.} * u_j'$$

$$u_i' \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial t} = \dots$$

add together

$$u_j' \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + u_i' \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial t} = \dots$$

$$\overline{u_j' \frac{\partial (u_i + u_i')}{\partial t}} + \overline{u_i' \frac{\partial (u_j + u_j')}{\partial t}} = \dots$$

$$\overline{u_j' \frac{\partial u_i'}{\partial t}} + \overline{u_i' \frac{\partial u_j'}{\partial t}} = \dots$$

$$\frac{\partial \overline{u_i' u_j'}}{\partial t} = \dots$$

Let  $\overline{u_i' u_j'} = R_{ij}$  The final full equation is:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} R_{ij} + u_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} R_{ij} = P_{ij} + T_{ij} - D_{ij} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} J_{ijk}$$

$$P_{ij} = - \left( R_{ik} \frac{\partial \overline{u_j}}{\partial x_k} + R_{jk} \frac{\partial \overline{u_i}}{\partial x_k} \right) \leftarrow \text{Production, closed}$$

$$J_{ijk} = -\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} R_{ij} + \overline{u_i' u_j' u_k'} + \frac{1}{\rho} (\overline{u_j' p'} \delta_{ik} + \overline{u_i' p'} \delta_{jk})$$

$$D_{ij} = 2\nu \frac{\partial u_i'}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial u_j'}{\partial x_k}$$

$$T_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} p' \left( \frac{\partial u_i'}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j'}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

$J_{ijk}$  - Transport, requires modeling

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \overline{u_i' u_j' u_k'} \leftarrow \text{turbulent transport of } \overline{u_i' u_j'}$$

$$\overline{u_j' p' \delta_{ik}} + \overline{u_i' p' \delta_{jk}} \quad - \text{ Not really know how this part behaves}$$

DNS suggests it is small. So either ignore or absorb into  $\overline{u_i' u_j' u_k'}$

Modeling of  $\overline{u_i' u_j' u_k'}$

$$\text{Simplest } \overline{u_i' u_j' u_k'} \sim \frac{\partial \overline{u_i' u_j'}}{\partial x_k} \leftarrow \text{gradient transport}$$

but  $\overline{u_i' u_j' u_k'}$  is "rotationally invariant" (symmetric in all indices)

So take form:

$$C_{ijk} = \frac{2}{3} c \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{\partial \overline{u_i' u_k'}}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \overline{u_j' u_k'}}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \overline{u_i' u_j'}}{\partial x_k} \right)$$

↑  
 $\nu_t$

(Not only model - variations exist)

Dissipation:

$$D_{ij} = 2\nu \overline{\frac{\partial u_i'}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial u_j'}{\partial x_k}}$$

Assuming  $D_{ij}$  is a small scale process,

$D_{ij}$  should be isotropic

$$D_{ij} = \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon \delta_{ij}$$

$$\varepsilon = \nu \overline{\frac{\partial u_i'}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial u_i'}{\partial x_k}} \quad \left. \vphantom{\varepsilon} \right\} \text{obtained from } \varepsilon \text{ equation}$$

Not isotropic near walls - add damping & nonisotropy

Pressure Strain

The pressure & velocity correlations that appear in the Reynolds stress equation can be decomposed in several ways. As done here (which is common), we are left with a "pressure-strain term";

$$\frac{1}{2} P' \left( \frac{\partial u_i'}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j'}{\partial x_i} \right) = T_{ij}$$

This must be modeled. What to do?

To gain some understanding, an equation for the fluctuating pressure can be derived.

Before doing this, note  $T_{ii} = 0$  (for incomp. flow) so it does not contribute to kinetic energy. It redistributes energy between various Reynolds stress components (See Pope, Pg 389)

To derive an equation for  $p'$ , note the following:

Take full N.S. equation:

$$\frac{D \overline{u_i + \bar{u}_i}}{Dt} = \dots$$

& Subtract Mean momentum:

For an incompressible flow, this gives

$$\frac{Du_i'}{Dt} + u_j' \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j} + u_j' \frac{\partial u_i'}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial \overline{u_i' u_j'}}{\partial x_j} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p'}{\partial x_i} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 u_i'}{\partial x_j \partial x_j} \star$$

Next, take  $\nabla \cdot \star$

This gives

$$\nabla^2 \frac{p'}{\rho} = -\frac{\partial^2 (\overline{u_i' u_m'} - \overline{u_j' u_m'})}{\partial x_j \partial x_m} - 2 \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_m} \frac{\partial u_m'}{\partial x_j}$$

This can be solved by integrating & applying Green's Theorem (See pg 19 in Pope)

Solution is:

$$\frac{p'}{\rho} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{Vol.} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 (\overline{u_k' u_m'} - \overline{u_j' u_m'})}{\partial x_j \partial x_m} + 2 \frac{\partial \bar{u}_k}{\partial x_m} \frac{\partial u_m'}{\partial x_k} \right\} \frac{dV}{r^3}$$

To get the pressure-strain correlation, multiply

the above by  $\frac{\partial u_i'}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j'}{\partial x_i}$  & average

$$\overline{\frac{p'}{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial u_i'}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j'}{\partial x_i} \right)} \Bigg|_{x,t} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{Vol} \left[ \overline{\left( \frac{\partial^2 \overline{u_k' u_m'}}{\partial x_k \partial x_l} \right) \left( \frac{\partial u_i'}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j'}{\partial x_i} \right)} \right] \frac{dV}{r^3}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{Vol} \left[ \overline{2 \frac{\partial \bar{u}_k}{\partial x_m} \frac{\partial u_m'}{\partial x_k} \left( \frac{\partial u_i'}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j'}{\partial x_i} \right)} \right] \frac{dV}{r^3}$$

The standard interpretation of this is that there is a "rapid" and "slow" part

The first term contains only turbulence quantities and is termed "return to isotropy" or "slow distortion" ( $T_{ij}^s$ )

$$\text{For } i=j, T_{ij}^s = 0 = T_{ij}$$

Things we say in modeling 2<sup>nd</sup> order closure

Turbulence quantities are local functions of  $\overline{u_i u_j'}$ ,  $K$ ,  $\epsilon$ ,  $\overline{u_i}$ , etc

Consistent in symmetry

Turbulent phenomena characterized by single scale based on  $K, \epsilon$

Small eddies isotropic

$$\text{So say } T_{ij}^s = -\frac{c}{t} \overline{u_i u_j'} \leftarrow \text{decay}$$

$$\text{but require } T_{iii}^s = 0$$

$$\text{so } T_{ij}^{s1} = -\frac{c}{t} \left( \overline{u_i u_j'} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} k \right)$$

$$= -C \frac{\epsilon}{K} \left( \overline{u_i u_j'} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} k \right) \quad (\text{Pope eq. 11.24})$$

This is earliest model (Rotta, 1951)

Advanced ideas: Real process of redistribution is nonlinear & modeling should reflect this

Pope 11.3.3

Not an easily modeled term (See Chen & Jaw "Fundamentals of Turbulence Modeling" Taylor & Francis 1998 pg 28-31)

$C$  has taken on wide range of values.

"Rapid" Pressure strain "rapid distortion" "rapid return to isotropy"

$$T_{ij}^r = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{vol} \left[ 2 \frac{\partial \bar{u}_k}{\partial x_m} \frac{\partial \overline{u_m'}}{\partial x_k} \left( \frac{\partial u_i'}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j'}{\partial x_i} \right) \right] \frac{dV}{r^2}$$

Named "rapid" since there is an instantaneous response in this correlation to mean velocity gradients

Simplest modeling approach

Approximate  $T_{ij}^r$  by shrinking down size of integration volume:

$$T_{ij}^r = C \frac{\partial \bar{u}_k}{\partial x_m} \frac{\partial \overline{u_m'}}{\partial x_k} \left( \frac{\partial u_i'}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j'}{\partial x_i} \right) \frac{l^3}{l}$$

The assumption here is all turbulent transport quantities are local functions of  $k, \varepsilon, u_i' u_j', \bar{u}, etc$

For proper symmetry,  $T_{ii}^r = 0$ , and correct scaling we can write:

$$T_{ij}^r = C \left( \underbrace{\frac{\partial \bar{u}_j}{\partial x_m} \overline{u_m' u_i'}}_{-P_{ij}} + \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_m} \overline{u_m' u_j'} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \bar{u}_k}{\partial x_m} \overline{u_m' u_k'}}_{P \text{ in K.E. equation}} \right)$$

$$T_{ij}^r = -C \left( P_{ij} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} P \right)$$

= For high strain

$$(2 \bar{S}_{ij} \bar{S}_{ij})^{1/2} \gg \frac{\varepsilon}{k}, T_{ij}^r \text{ dominates}$$

See RDT (Pope, pg. 404)

General modeling more sophisticated than above.

## Boundary Conditions:

At walls:

No slip applies

- Problems:
- 1) Steep gradients  $\Rightarrow$  require very high resolution
  - 2) Viscous effects important & high Re turbulence models (i.e.  $\nu \gg \nu$ ) not applicable

A Solution: Use empirical laws to connect wall conditions (i.e. wall shear stress) to dependent variables outside viscous sublayer

From momentum equation, near wall velocity profile can be approximated by

$$u^+ = \frac{1}{K} \ln y^+ + C$$

$$u^+ = \frac{u}{u_\tau}$$

$$u_\tau = \left( \frac{\tau_w}{\rho} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\tau_w = \mu \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|_{\text{wall}}$$

$$y^+ = \frac{u_\tau y}{\nu}$$

Very near wall ( $y^+ \lesssim 10$ ), viscous effects dominate

For  $y^+ > 10$ , can write  $u^+ = \frac{1}{K} \ln E y^+$   $\textcircled{A}$

$E \approx 9$  for smooth walls

Solve regular  $k-\epsilon$  equations in turbulent zone

Use wall  $f^+$  for near wall & to get  $u$  at first mesh point

Solution B:

Wall models not always adequate

e.g. separated flows  
unsteady flows  
transitional Re

Cannot predict things directly near wall

Low Reynolds # approach using wall damping

Typical set

$$-\overline{u_i' u_j'} = \nu_t \left( \frac{\partial \overline{u_i}}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \overline{u_j}}{\partial x_i} \right) - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} k$$

$$\text{Where } \nu_t = C_\mu f_\mu \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon}$$

↑ damping function

Tabulated for various models in Chen & Jaw pg. 117-118

$$\varepsilon = \overline{\varepsilon} + D \quad \leftarrow \text{function in valuing } \nu, k \text{ \& gradients of } k$$

$$\frac{Dk}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( C_k f_\mu \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} + \nu \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} \right) - \overline{u_i' u_j'} \frac{\partial \overline{u_i}}{\partial x_j} - \varepsilon$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D\varepsilon}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( C_\varepsilon f_\mu \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \overline{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_j} + \nu \frac{\partial \overline{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_j} \right) - C_{\varepsilon 1} f_1 \frac{\overline{\varepsilon}}{k} \overline{u_i' u_j'} \frac{\partial \overline{u_i}}{\partial x_j} \\ - C_{\varepsilon 2} f_2 \frac{\overline{\varepsilon}^2}{k} + \overline{\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} f_\mu \\ f_1 \\ f_2 \end{array} \right\} \text{involve } y, y^+, \nu, k \dots$$

Several variants exist