

# Gröbner Bases & their Computation

## Definitions + First Results

Priyank Kalla



Professor

Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Utah

[kalla@ece.utah.edu](mailto:kalla@ece.utah.edu)

<http://www.ece.utah.edu/~kalla>

Slides updated Oct 22, 2019

- Now that we know how to perform the reduction  $f \xrightarrow{F=\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}}_+ r$
- Study Gröbner Bases (GB)
  - Motivate GB through ideal membership testing
  - Study how they are related to ideal of leading terms
  - Study various definitions of GB
  - Study Buchberger's  $S$ -polynomials and the Buchberger's algorithm to compute GB
- Minimal and Reduced GB
- Application to ideal membership testing

**Inputs:**  $f, f_1, \dots, f_s \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n], f_i \neq 0$

**Outputs:**  $u_1, \dots, u_s, r$  s.t.  $f = \sum f_i u_i + r$  where  $r$  is reduced w.r.t.  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$  and  $\max(\text{lp}(u_1)\text{lp}(f_1), \dots, \text{lp}(u_s)\text{lp}(f_s), \text{lp}(r)) = \text{lp}(f)$

1:  $u_i \leftarrow 0; r \leftarrow 0, h \leftarrow f$

2: **while** ( $h \neq 0$ ) **do**

3:   **if**  $\exists i$  s.t.  $\text{lm}(f_i) \mid \text{lm}(h)$  **then**

4:     choose  $i$  least s.t.  $\text{lm}(f_i) \mid \text{lm}(h)$

5:      $u_i = u_i + \frac{\text{lt}(h)}{\text{lt}(f_i)}$

6:      $h = h - \frac{\text{lt}(h)}{\text{lt}(f_i)} f_i$

7:   **else**

8:      $r = r + \text{lt}(h)$

9:      $h = h - \text{lt}(h)$

10:   **end if**

11: **end while**

**Algorithm 1:** Multivariate Division of  $f$  by  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$

Let  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ ;  $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$  and let  $f \in J$ . Then we should be able to represent  $f = u_1 f_1 + \dots + u_s f_s + r$  where  $r = 0$ . If we were to divide  $f$  by  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ , then we will obtain an intermediate remainder (say,  $h$ ) after every one-step reduction. Note  $h \in J$  because  $f, f_1, \dots, f_s$  are all in  $J$ . The leading term of every such remainder ( $\text{LT}(h)$ ) should be divisible by the leading term of at least one of the polynomials in  $F$ . Only then we will have  $r = 0$ .

### Definition

Let  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ ;  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$ ;  
 $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle = \langle g_1, \dots, g_t \rangle$ . Then  $G$  is a **Gröbner Basis** of  $J$



$$\forall f \in J \ (f \neq 0), \quad \exists i : \text{lm}(g_i) \mid \text{lm}(f)$$

## Definition

$$G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\} = GB(J) \iff \forall f \in J, \exists g_i \text{ s.t. } \text{Im}(g_i) \mid \text{Im}(f)$$

As a consequence of the above definition:

## Definition

$$G = GB(J) \iff \forall f \in J, f \xrightarrow{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_t}_+ 0$$

- Implies a “decision procedure” for ideal membership
- To check if  $f \in \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$ :
- Compute  $GB(f_1, \dots, f_s) = G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$
- Reduce  $f \xrightarrow{g_1, \dots, g_t}_+ r$ , and check if  $r = 0$

# Understanding GB through some examples

- $J = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ , DEGLEX  $y > x$
- $f_1 = yx - y$ ,  $f_2 = y^2 - x$  and let  $f = y^2x - x$
- $f = yf_1 + f_2$  so  $f \in J$
- Apply division: i.e. REDUCE  $f \xrightarrow{f_1, f_2}_+ r_1$
- Solve it in classroom:  $r_1 = 0$
- Now try:  $f \xrightarrow{f_2, f_1}_+ r_2 = x^2 - x$
- Does there exist  $f_i$  s.t.  $lm(f_i) \mid lm(r_2)$ ?
- $G = \{f_1, f_2, x^2 - x\}$  is a GB. Why?

## It has got to do with Leading Monomials

- Let  $f \in J = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ : so  $f = h_1 f_1 + h_2 f_2$
- Consider **only leading terms**:
- If  $lt(f) \in \langle lt(f_1), lt(f_2) \rangle$ , then some  $lm(f_i) \mid lm(f)$  [observe: this has to be true!]
- But, what if  $lt(f) \notin \langle lt(f_1), lt(f_2) \rangle$ ?
- Refer to the example on the previous slide

## It has got to do with Leading Monomials

- Let  $f \in J = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ : so  $f = h_1 f_1 + h_2 f_2$
- Consider **only leading terms**:
- If  $lt(f) \in \langle lt(f_1), lt(f_2) \rangle$ , then some  $lm(f_i) \mid lm(f)$  [observe: this has to be true!]
- But, what if  $lt(f) \notin \langle lt(f_1), lt(f_2) \rangle$ ?
- Refer to the example on the previous slide

### Cancellation of Leading Terms

When  $f$  is a polynomial combination of (say)  $h_i f_i + h_j f_j$ , such that the leading terms of  $h_i f_i$  and  $h_j f_j$  cancel each other, then  $lt(f) \notin \langle lt(f_i), lt(f_j) \rangle$ .  
When does this happen?

This happens when the leading term of some combination of  $f_i, f_j$  ( $ax^\alpha f_i - bx^\beta f_j$ ) cancel!



$$S(f, g) = \frac{L}{\text{lt}(f)} \cdot f - \frac{L}{\text{lt}(g)} \cdot g$$

- $L = \text{LCM}(\text{lm}(f), \text{lm}(g))$
- How to compute LCM of leading monomials?

Let  $\text{multideg}(f) = X^\alpha$ ,  $\text{multideg}(g) = X^\beta$ , where  $X^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ , and let  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ , where  $\gamma_i = \max(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ . Then the  $x^\gamma = \text{LCM}(\text{lm}(f), \text{lm}(g))$ .

$$S(f, g) = \frac{L}{\text{lt}(f)} \cdot f - \frac{L}{\text{lt}(g)} \cdot g$$

- $L = \text{LCM}(\text{lm}(f), \text{lm}(g))$
- How to compute LCM of leading monomials?

Let  $\text{multideg}(f) = X^\alpha$ ,  $\text{multideg}(g) = X^\beta$ , where  $X^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ , and let  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ , where  $\gamma_i = \max(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ . Then the  $x^\gamma = \text{LCM}(\text{lm}(f), \text{lm}(g))$ .

This  $S$ -polynomial ( $S = \text{syzygy}$ ) cancels  $\text{lt}(f), \text{lt}(g)$ , gives a polynomial  $h = S(f, g)$  with a new  $\text{lt}(h)$ .

This  $S$ -polynomial with a new  $\text{lt}()$  is the missing piece of the GB puzzle!

- While  $S$ -poly gives new  $lt(h)$ , it may still have some redundant information
- $f = x^3y^2 - x^2y^3$ ;  $g = 3x^4 + y^2$
- $Spoly(f, g) = -x^3y^3 + x^2 - \frac{1}{3}y^3$
- $x^3y^3$  can be composed of  $lt(f)$
- Reduce:  $Spoly(f, g) \xrightarrow{f, g} r$
- IN this case:  $r = -x^2y^4 - \frac{1}{3}y^3$
- If  $r \neq 0$  then  $r$  provides “new information” regarding the basis

## Theorem (Buchberger's Theorem [1])

Let  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$  be a set of non-zero polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then  $G$  is a Grobner basis for the ideal  $J = \langle g_1, \dots, g_t \rangle$  if and only if **for all**  $i \neq j$

$$S(g_i, g_j) \xrightarrow{G} + 0$$

## Theorem (Buchberger's Theorem [1])

Let  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$  be a set of non-zero polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then  $G$  is a Grobner basis for the ideal  $J = \langle g_1, \dots, g_t \rangle$  if and only if **for all**  $i \neq j$

$$S(g_i, g_j) \xrightarrow{G} + 0$$

Can you think of an algorithm to compute  $\text{GB}(J)$ ?

## Buchberger's Algorithm

INPUT :  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$

OUTPUT :  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$

$G := F;$

REPEAT

$G' := G$

    For each pair  $\{f, g\}, f \neq g$  in  $G'$  DO

$S(f, g) \xrightarrow{G'} r$

        IF  $r \neq 0$  THEN  $G := G \cup \{r\}$

UNTIL  $G = G'$

$$S(f, g) = \frac{L}{lt(f)} \cdot f - \frac{L}{lt(g)} \cdot g$$

$L = \text{LCM}(lm(f), lm(g)), \quad lm(f)$ : leading monomial of  $f$

**Inputs:**  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\} \subset \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n], f_i \neq 0$

**Outputs:**  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$ , a Gröbner basis for  $\langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$

- 1: Initialize:  $G := F; \mathcal{G} := \{\{f_i, f_j\} \mid f_i \neq f_j \in G\}$
- 2: **while**  $\mathcal{G} \neq \emptyset$  **do**
- 3:   Pick a pair  $\{f, g\} \in \mathcal{G}$
- 4:    $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{G} - \{\{f, g\}\}$
- 5:    $\text{Spoly}(f, g) \xrightarrow{G} h$
- 6:   **if**  $h \neq 0$  **then**
- 7:      $G := G \cup \{\{u, h\} \mid \forall u \in G\}$
- 8:      $G := G \cup \{h\}$
- 9:   **end if**
- 10: **end while**

**Algorithm 2:** Buchberger's algorithm from [2]

- $F = \{f_1, f_2\} \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ , LEX  $y > x$ ;  $f_1 = xy - x$ ;  $f_2 = -y + x^2$
- Run Buchberger's algorithm:
  - Polynomial Pair: there's only one  $\{f_1, f_2\}$
  - $Spoly(f_1, f_2) = \frac{xy}{xy}f_1 - \frac{xy}{-y}f_2$
  - $Spoly(f_1, f_2) = xy - x - xy + x^3 = x^3 - x \neq 0$
  - $Spoly(f_1, f_2) \xrightarrow{f_1, f_2}_+ x^3 - x$
  - New basis:  $\{f_1, f_2, f_3 = x^3 - x\}$
  - New pairs:  $\{f_1, f_3\}, \{f_2, f_3\}$
- $Spoly(f_1, f_3) \xrightarrow{f_1, f_2, f_3}_+ = yx - x^3 \xrightarrow{f_1, f_2, f_3}_+ 0$
- $Spoly(f_2, f_3) \xrightarrow{f_1, f_2, f_3}_+ 0$
- No more polynomial pairs remaining, so  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  is the GB



## Change the term order

- $F = \{f_1, f_2\} \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ , DEGLLEX  $x > y$ ;  $f_1 = xy - x$ ;  $f_2 = -y + x^2$
- Then:  $f_1 = xy - x$ ;  $f_2 = x^2 - y$
- $\text{Spoly}(f_1, f_2) \xrightarrow{f_1, f_2}_+ = -x^2 + y^2 \xrightarrow{f_1, f_2}_+ y^2 - y = f_3$ ;
- $\text{Spoly}(f_1, f_3) \xrightarrow{f_1, f_2, f_3}_+ = 0$
- $\text{Spoly}(f_2, f_3) \xrightarrow{f_1, f_2, f_3}_+ = 0$

## A more interesting example

- $f_1 = x^2 + y^2 + 1$ ;  $f_2 = x^2y + 2xy + x$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_5[x, y]$  LEX  $x > y$
- $S(f_1, f_2) \xrightarrow{f_1, f_2}_+ f_3 = 3xy + 4x + y^3 + y$
- $\mathcal{G} := \{\{f_1, f_3\}, \{f_2, f_3\}\}$
- $G = \{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$
- $S(f_1, f_3) \xrightarrow{f_1, f_2, f_3}_+ f_4 = 4y^5 + 3y^4 + y^2 + y + 3$
- $\mathcal{G} := \{\{\cancel{f_1}, f_3\}, \{f_2, f_3\}, \{f_1, f_4\}, \{f_2, f_4\}, \{f_3, f_4\}\}$
- $G = \{f_1, \dots, f_4\}$
- Now, all *Spoly* in  $\mathcal{G}$  reduce to 0, so  $GB = \{f_1, \dots, f_4\}$

- Gröbner basis complexity is not very pleasant
- For  $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ :  $n$  variables, and let  $d$  be the degree of  $J$
- Complexity of Gröbner basis
  - Degree of polynomials in  $G$  is bounded by  $2(\frac{1}{2}d^2 + d)^{2^{n-1}}$  [3]
  - Doubly-exponential in  $n$  and polynomial in the degree  $d$
- This is the complexity of the GB problem, not of Buchberger's algorithm – that's still a mystery
- In many practical cases, the behaviour is not that bad — but it is still challenging to overcome this complexity
- Our objective: to glean more information from circuits to overcome this complexity — we'll study these concepts a little later
- In general DEGREVLEX orders show better performance than LEX orders — but for Boolean circuits, our experience is slightly different

A Gröbner basis  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$  is minimal if for all  $i$ ,  $lc(g_i) = 1$ , and for all  $i \neq j$ ,  $lm(g_i)$  does not divide  $lm(g_j)$ .

- Obtain a minimal GB: Test if  $lm(g_i)$  divides  $lm(g_j)$ , remove  $g_j$ . Then normalize the LC: Divide each  $g_i$  by  $lc(g_i)$ .
- Unfortunately, minimality is not unique
- Minimal GBs have same number of terms
- Minimal GBs have same leading terms

- Over  $\mathbb{Z}_5[x, y]$ , LEX  $x > y$

A Gröbner basis:

$$f_1 = x^2 + y^2 + 1$$

$$f_2 = x^2y + 2xy + x$$

$$f_3 = 3xy + 4x + y^3 + y$$

$$f_4 = 4y^5 + 3y^4 + y^2 + y + 3$$

- Over  $\mathbb{Z}_5[x, y]$ , LEX  $x > y$

A Gröbner basis:

$$f_1 = x^2 + y^2 + 1$$

$$f_2 = x^2y + 2xy + x$$

$$f_3 = 3xy + 4x + y^3 + y$$

$$f_4 = 4y^5 + 3y^4 + y^2 + y + 3$$

A minimal Gröbner basis:

$$f_1 = x^2 + y^2 + 1$$

$$\frac{f_3}{3} = xy + 3x + 2y^3 + 2y$$

$$\frac{f_4}{4} = y^5 + 2y^4 + 4y^2 + 4y + 2$$

A **reduced GB** for a polynomial ideal  $J$  is a GB  $G$  such that:

- $\text{lc}(p) = 1, \forall$  polynomials  $p \in G$
- $\forall p \in G$ , no monomial of  $p$  lies in  $\langle \text{LT}(G - \{p\}) \rangle$ .

In other words, no non-zero term in  $g_i$ , is divisible by any  $\text{lm}(g_j)$ , for  $i \neq j$ .

Reduced, minimal GB is a **unique, canonical representation of an ideal!**

## To Reduce a Minimal GB, do the following:

- Compute a G.B. Make it minimal: remove  $g_i$  if  $lp(g_j)$  divides  $lp(g_i)$ . Make all LC = 1.
- Reduce it:  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$  is minimal G.B. Get  $H = \{h_1, \dots, h_t\}$ :
  - $g_1 \xrightarrow{H_1} h_1$ , where  $h_1$  is reduced w.r.t.  $H_1 = \{g_2, \dots, g_t\}$
  - $g_2 \xrightarrow{H_2} h_2$ , where  $h_2$  is reduced w.r.t.  $H_2 = \{h_1, g_3, \dots, g_t\}$
  - $g_3 \xrightarrow{H_3} h_3$ , where  $h_3$  is reduced w.r.t.  $H_3 = \{h_1, h_2, g_4, \dots, g_t\}$
  - $g_t \xrightarrow{H_t} h_t$ , where  $h_t$  is reduced w.r.t.  $H_t = \{h_1, h_2, h_3, \dots, h_{t-1}\}$
- Then  $H = \{h_1, \dots, h_t\}$  is a unique, minimal, reduced GB.



## Reduce this minimal GB

$$f_1 = x^2 + y^2 + 1$$

$$f_2 = xy + 3x + 2y^3 + 2y$$

$$f_3 = y^5 + 2y^4 + 4y^2 + 4y + 2$$

## Reduce this minimal GB

$$f_1 = x^2 + y^2 + 1$$

$$f_2 = xy + 3x + 2y^3 + 2y$$

$$f_3 = y^5 + 2y^4 + 4y^2 + 4y + 2$$

It is already reduced!

## Example: Non-uniqueness of minimal GB

DEGLEX  $y > x$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ :

$$f_1 = y^2 + yx + x^2$$

$$f_2 = y + x$$

$$f_3 = y$$

$$f_4 = x^2$$

$$f_5 = x$$

## Example: Non-uniqueness of minimal GB

DEGLEX  $y > x$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ :

$$f_1 = y^2 + yx + x^2$$

$$f_2 = y + x$$

$$f_3 = y$$

$$f_4 = x^2$$

$$f_5 = x$$

$\{f_3, f_5\}$  and  $\{f_2, f_5\}$  are minimal GBs (non-unique)

## Example: Non-uniqueness of minimal GB

DEGLEX  $y > x$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ :

$$f_1 = y^2 + yx + x^2$$

$$f_2 = y + x$$

$$f_3 = y$$

$$f_4 = x^2$$

$$f_5 = x$$

$\{f_3, f_5\}$  and  $\{f_2, f_5\}$  are minimal GBs (non-unique)

$\{f_3, f_5\}$  is a reduced GB

## Gröbner bases as ideals of leading terms

- Let  $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$  be an ideal
- Denote by  $LT(I)$  the **set** of leading terms of **all** elements of  $I$ .
- $LT(I) = \{cx^\alpha : \exists f \in I \text{ with } LT(f) = cx^\alpha\}$
- $\langle LT(I) \rangle$  denotes the (monomial) ideal generated by elements of  $LT(I)$ .

Contrast  $\langle LT(I) \rangle$  with:

- $\langle lt(f_1), lt(f_2), \dots, lt(f_s) \rangle$
- Is  $\langle LT(I) \rangle = \langle lt(f_1), lt(f_2), \dots, lt(f_s) \rangle$ ?
- Not always. Equality holds only when the set  $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$  is a Gröbner basis!

## See this example...

- Let  $f_1 = x^3 - 2xy$ ;  $f_2 = x^2y - 2y^2 + x$  DEGLLEX  $x > y$
- Note:  $F = \{f_1, f_2\}$  is not a GB!
- $I = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ , and  $x^2 = x \cdot f_2 - yf_1 \in I$
- $x^2 = lt(x^2) \in LT(I)$
- But, is  $x^2 \in \langle lt(f_1), lt(f_2) \rangle$ ?
- Aside: BTW, what is a GB of a set of monomials?
- Compute  $GB(f_1, f_2) = \{g_1 : 2y^2 - x, g_2 : xy, g_3 : x^2\}$
- Note that  $\langle LT(I) \rangle = \{lt(g_1) = 2y^2, lt(g_2) = xy, lt(g_3) = x^2\}$

### Definition

$$G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\} \iff \langle lt(I) \rangle = \langle lt(g_1), \dots, lt(g_t) \rangle$$

## Finally, to recap...

- Every ideal over  $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is finitely generated
- $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subset \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$
- Every such ideal  $J$  has a Gröbner basis  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$  which can always be computed
- $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle = \langle g_1, \dots, g_t \rangle$

### Definition

$$G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\} = GB(J) \iff \forall f \in J, \exists g_i \text{ s.t. } lm(g_i) \mid lm(f)$$

### Definition

$$G = GB(J) \iff \forall f \in J, f \xrightarrow{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_t} 0$$

### Definition

$$G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\} = GB(J) \iff \langle lt(J) \rangle = \langle lt(g_1), \dots, lt(g_t) \rangle$$



- Buchberger's algorithm computes Gröbner basis
- $\text{Spoly}(f, g) \xrightarrow{G}_+ r$  cancels the leading terms of  $f, g$  and gives a polynomial with a new leading term
- A GB is computed when **ALL**  $\text{Spoly}(f, g) \xrightarrow{G}_+ 0$
- GB should be made minimal and then reduced
- Reduced GB = unique, canonical form (subject to the term order)
- GB as a decision procedure for **ideal membership testing**
  - Compute  $G = \text{GB}(J)$ , reduce  $f \xrightarrow{G}_+ r$ , and check if  $r = 0$

### Definition (Ideal Membership Testing Algorithm)

$$f \in J \iff f \xrightarrow{G}_+ 0 \text{ where } G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$$

Remainder modulo a Gröbner basis is unique, w.r.t. a given monomial order

- Fix a term order  $>$ , and let  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\} = GB(J)$  be a Gröbner basis
- If  $f \xrightarrow{G}_{>} r_1$  and  $f \xrightarrow{G}_{>} r_2$ , then  $r_1 = r_2 = r$
- Then  $r$  is called the *normal form* of  $f$  modulo  $G$ :  $r = \overline{NF(f)}^G$
- Then  $r$  is a unique canonical signature modulo a Gröbner basis

# Extended Gröbner Basis

Let  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ ,  $J = \langle F \rangle$  and compute  $G = GB(J) = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$  using Buchberger's algorithm. Then it is possible to extend Buchberger's algorithm to output not just  $G$ , but also a  $t \times s$  matrix  $M$  with polynomial entries such that:

$$\begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ \vdots \\ g_t \end{bmatrix} = M \cdot \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_s \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

Entries of  $M$  can be found by recording the “quotients of division” in Buchberger's algorithm. The “lift” command in Singular can solve that.

# Extended Ideal Membership

- Let  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ ,  $J = \langle F \rangle$  and compute  $G = GB(J) = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$
- Let  $f \in J$ , then we know that  $f \xrightarrow{G}_+ r = 0$
- Then  $f = h_1g_1 + h_2g_2 + \dots + h_tg_t$
- From Eqn. (1) each  $g_i$  is some combination of  $f_1, \dots, f_s$
- Substitute  $g_i$ 's:  $f = u_1f_1 + u_2f_2 + \dots + u_sf_s$

- [1] B. Buchberger, “Ein Algorithmus zum Auffinden der Basiselemente des Restklassenringes nach einem nulldimensionalen Polynomideal,” Ph.D. dissertation, University of Innsbruck, 1965.
- [2] W. W. Adams and P. Loustau, *An Introduction to Gröbner Bases*. American Mathematical Society, 1994.
- [3] T. W. Dube, “The Structure of Polynomial Ideals and Gröbner bases,” *SIAM Journal of Computing*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 750–773, 1990.