Inductive Types

Static Analysis section, Lecture 25



Pavel Panchekha

CS 6110, U of Utah 9 April 2020

Proof rules

Introduction and elimination rules for and:



Introduction



These are **basically opposites**!

No "free information" from introducing intermediate steps

Implication

$$\Gamma, x : a \vdash e : b$$
$$\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : a, e) : a \rightarrow b$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f : a \to b}{\Gamma \vdash (fe) : b}$$

Mixing the Two

If proofs are programs and propositions are types...

- 1. One language for proofs **and** programs
- 2. Syntax **reused** for programs and proofs
- 3. Data structures **mix** programs and proofs
- 4. Proof or program? **You decide!**

Class Progress



Inductive Types

Defining new **inductive** data types

Natural numbers, lists, and trees

Compiling recursion to induction

Finding recursive calls and replacing them

Proof by recursion over a data type

Constructing proofs recursively

Inductive Types

Natural numbers, lists, and trees

New Data Types

Pairs and functions have proof analogs

But what about natural numbers, lists, trees, etc?

Recall axioms of Peano arithmetic:

- Natural numbers are **0 or (n + 1)**
- **Define** plus / times via (n+1)
- Induction axiom

Constructors

Defines natural numbers via their **constructors**:

- **All natural numbers** are 0 or (n + 1)

$$\forall n, n = 0 \lor \exists n', n = n' + 1$$

- 0 and (n + 1) are **different**

 $\forall n', 0 \neq n' + 1$

The Pattern

Defining "or" terms via their **constructors**:

- All "or" terms are **lft or rgt**

$$\forall c, (\exists a, c = \mathsf{lft} a) \lor (\exists b, c = \mathsf{rgt} b)$$

- **Ift and rgt** are different

 $\forall a, \forall b, \text{ lft } a \neq \text{rgt } b$

The Pattern

Defining "and" terms via their **constructors**:

- All "and" terms are (a, b)

$$\forall c, \exists a, \exists b, c = (a, b)$$

(a, b) are different

Introduction Rules

Constructors **define introduction rules** for a data type:

What about **elimination rules**?

Analogy from OR



Analogy for Nat

nat has two constructors:



To Induction f_{+1} : nat \rightarrow nat *n* : nat $f_0: c$ $rec(n, f_0, f_{+1}) : c$ Meanwhile, the induction rule: $P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)$ n : nat P(n)

Key: replace nat by a property of nat

Compiling Recursion

Recursion via induction

Generalizing

Define new types by defining their constructors:

- Constructors have arguments, including from that type
- Each constructor is an **introduction rule**

Per-type elimination rule based on constructors

Function takes constructor arguments, returns c

Induction rule generalizes elimination rule Replace output type c with property P(constructor)

Recursive Definitions

Normal recursive function **examines constructors**:

def length : ∀τ, list τ → nat
| nil := 0
| (cons a r) := length r + 1

Define recursive function via induction:

 $\frac{P([]) \quad l: \text{list } \tau \quad \forall x, \forall l', P(l') \to P(x::l')}{P(l)}$

Recursive Definitions

Normal recursive function **examines constructors**:

def length : $\forall \tau$, list $\tau \rightarrow$ nat := λ l, list.rec l (λ l, nat) (λ, 0) $(\lambda \times l \text{ length}_l, \text{ length}_l + 1)$ Define recursive function via induction: $\forall x, \forall l', P(l') \rightarrow P(x :: l')$ l: list τ

Uses of Induction

Induction can prove properties of all numbers

Prove base case, P(0)

Prove inductive case, $P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)$

Induction can also **compute recursive function** Implement base case, f(0)Implement recursive case, f(n + 1), in terms of f(n)

Uses of Induction

Induction can prove properties of all numbers

Prove base case, P(0)

Prove inductive case, $P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)$

Induction can also **compute recursive function** Implement base case, f(0)Implement recursive case, $f(n) \mapsto f(n + 1)$

Course Updates Final Projects

Final Presentation

Final project presentations due Thursday

Double the normal length (8 minutes solo, 16 minutes group)

Record and upload the presentations

Watch and **submit questions** for others' presentations

Presentations should stand alone

Cover every part of the project; show what you achieved

Discuss how you checked that the results are good

Final Project

Submit code for final project same day as presentations

We'll run quicksort on test cases

We'll verify programs, and try to verify false things

Group projects will be graded by reading code

If you're behind due to coronavirus, email me

Proof by Recursion

Proofs as Programs

A simple proof

Consider proving this theorem:

def t : ∀n, 0 <= n $\forall n, 0 \leq n$ Let's prove it **by induction**: **nat** rec on n $P(0) = 0 \le 0$ le.refl 0 : 0 <= 0 $P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1) = 0 \le n \rightarrow 0 \le (n+1)$ λ n pf, le.step pf

A simple proof

Proof by induction, as code:

```
theorem t : ∀ n, 0 ≤ n :=
λ n, nat.rec_on n
  (le.refl 0)
  (λ n' pf, le.step pf)
```

"Uncompile" to **recursive function**, as code:

Recursion + Induction

Proof by induction is **recursively computing a proof** Prove $P(0) \rightarrow$ Implement f(0) (of type P(0)) Prove $P(n) \rightarrow P(n + 1) \rightarrow$ Implement $f(n) \mapsto f(n + 1)$

When proofs are programs, induction is recursion!

Next class: **Type Dependency**

To do:□ Course feedback□ Class projects

Inductive Types

Defining new **inductive** data types

Natural numbers, lists, and trees

Compiling recursion to induction

Finding recursive calls and replacing them

Proof by recursion over a data type

Constructing proofs recursively

PREDICATES

PROPOSITIONS

ARE

TYPES TOO

INDUCTION



PROOFS

DEPENDENCY

MULTIPLE

TERNS

Next class: **Type Dependency**

To do:□ Course feedback□ Class projects