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Motivation

- Some new cars contain > 3 miles of wire

- Clearly inappropriate to connect all pairs of communicating entities with their own wires
  - $O(n^2)$ wires

- CAN permits everyone on the bus to talk
  - Cost ~$3 / node
    - $1 for CAN interface
    - $1 for the transceiver
    - $1 for connectors and additional board area
CAN Bus

- Cars commonly have multiple CAN busses
  - Physical redundancy for fault tolerance

- CAN nodes sold
  - 200 million in 2001
  - 300 million in 2004
  - 400 million in 2009
What is CAN?

- Controller Area Network
  - Developed by Bosch in the late 1980s
  - Current version is 2.0, from 1991
- Multi-master serial network
- Bus network: All messages seen by all nodes
- Highly fault tolerant
- Resistant to interference
- Lossless in expected case
- Real-time guarantees can be made about CAN performance
More about CAN

◆ Message based, with payload size 0-8 bytes
  ➢ Not for bulk data transfer!
  ➢ But perfect for many embedded control applications

◆ Bandwidth
  ➢ 1 Mbps up to 40 m
  ➢ 40 Kbps up to 1000 m
  ➢ 5 Kbps up to 10,000 m

◆ CAN interfaces are usually pretty smart
  ➢ Interrupt only after an entire message is received
  ➢ Filter out unwanted messages in HW – zero CPU load

◆ Many MCUs have optional onboard CAN support
CAN Bus Low Level

- CAN does not specify a physical layer
- Common PHY choice: Twisted pair with differential voltages
  - Resistant to interference
  - Can operate with degraded noise resistance when one wire is cut
  - Fiber optic also used, but not commonly

- Each node needs to be able to transmit and listen at the same time
  - Including listening to itself
Dominant and Recessive

- Bit encoding:
  - Voltage difference → “dominant” bit == logical 0
  - No voltage difference → “recessive” bit == logical 1
Bus Conflict Detection

- **Bus state with two nodes transmitting:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Node 1</th>
<th>Node 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dominant</td>
<td>recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dominant</td>
<td>dominant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recessive</td>
<td>dominant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recessive</td>
<td>recessive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **So:**
  - When a node transmits dominant, it always hears dominant
  - When a node transmits recessive and hears dominant, then there is a bus conflict

- **Soon we’ll see why this is important**
More Low Level

- **CAN Encoding: Non-return to zero (NRZ)**
  - Lots of consecutive zeros or ones leave the bus in a single state for a long time
  - In contrast, for a Manchester encoding each bit contains a transition

- **NRZ problem: Not self-clocking**
  - Nodes can easily lose bus synchronization

- **Solution: Bit stuffing**
  - After transmitting 5 consecutive bits at either dominant or recessive, transmit 1 bit of the opposite polarity
  - Receivers perform destuffing to get the original message back
Problem: Nodes rapidly lose sync when bus is idle
- Idle bus is all recessive – no transitions
- Bit stuffing only applies to messages

Solution: All nodes sync to the leading edge of the “start of frame” bit of the first transmitter

Additionally: Nodes resynchronize on every recessive to dominant edge

Question: What degree of clock skew can be tolerated by CAN?
- Hint: Phrase skew as ratio of fastest to slowest node clock in the network
CAN is Synchronous

- Fundamental requirement: Everyone on the bus sees the current bit before the next bit is sent
  - This is going to permit a very clever arbitration scheme
  - Ethernet does NOT have this requirement
    - This is one reason Ethernet bandwidth can be much higher than CAN

- Let’s look at time per bit:
  - Speed of electrical signal propagation 0.1-0.2 m/ns
  - 40 Kbps CAN bus → 25000 ns per bit
    - A bit can travel 2500 m (max bus length 1000 m)
  - 1 Mbps CAN bus → 1000 ns per bit
    - A bit can travel 100 m (max bus length 40 m)
CAN Addressing

- Nodes do not have proper addresses
- Rather, each message has an 11-bit “field identifier”
  - In extended mode, identifiers are 29 bits
- Everyone who is interested in a message type listens for it
  - Works like this: “I’m sending an oxygen sensor reading”
  - Not like this: “I’m sending a message to node 5”
- Field identifiers also serve as message priorities
  - More on this soon
CAN Message Types

- **Data frame**
  - Frame containing data for transmission

- **Remote frame**
  - Frame requesting the transmission of a specific identifier

- **Error frame**
  - Frame transmitted by any node detecting an error

- **Overload frame**
  - Frame to inject a delay between data and/or remote frames if a receiver is not ready
CAN Data Frame

- Bit stuffing not shown here – it happens below this level
Data Frame Fields

- **RTR** – remote transmission request
  - Always dominant for a data frame
- **IDE** – identifier extension
  - Always dominant for 11-bit addressing
- **CRC** – Based on a standard polynomial
- **CRC delimiter** – Always recessive
- **ACK slot** – This is transmitted as recessive
  - Receiver fills it in by transmitting a dominant bit
  - Sender sees this and knows that the frame was received
    - By at least one receiver
- **ACK delimiter** – Always recessive
Remote Frame

- Same as data frame except:
  - RTR bit set to recessive
  - There is no data field
  - Value in data length field is ignored
Five different kinds of error checking are performed by all nodes

Message-level error checking
- Verify that checksum checks
- Verify that someone received a message and filled in the ack slot
- Verify that each bit that is supposed to be recessive, is

Bit-level error checking
- Verify that transmitted and received bits are the same
  - Except identifier and ack fields
- Verify that the bit stuffing rule is respected
Error Handling

- Every node is in error-active or error-passive state
  - Normally in error-active
- Every node has an error counter
  - Incremented by 8 every time a node is found to be erroneous
  - Decremented by 1 every time a node transmits or receives a message correctly
- If error counter reaches 128 a node enters error-passive state
  - Can still send and receive messages normally
- If error counter reaches 256 a node takes itself off the network
Error Frame

- **Active error flag – six consecutive dominant bits**
  - This is sent by any active-error node detecting an error at any time during a frame transmission
  - Violates the bit stuffing rule!
    - This stomps the current frame – nobody will receive it
  - Following an active error, the transmitting node will retransmit

- **Passive error flag – six consecutive recessive bits**
  - This is “sent” by any passive-error node detecting an error
  - Unless overwritten by dominant bits from other nodes!

- **After an error frame everyone transmits 8 recessive bits**
Bus Arbitration

✧ Problem: Control access to the bus
✧ Ethernet solution: CSMA/CD
  - Carrier sense with multiple access – anyone can transmit when the medium is idle
  - Collision detection – Stomp the current packet if two nodes transmit at once
    - Why is it possible for two nodes to transmit at once?
  - Random exponential backoff to make recurring collisions unlikely
✧ Problems with this solution:
  - Bad worst-case behavior – repeated backoffs
  - Access is not prioritized
Nodes can transmit when the bus is idle.

Problem is when multiple nodes transmit simultaneously.
  - We want the highest-priority node to “win”

Solution: CSMA/BA
  - Carrier sense multiple access with bitwise arbitration

How it works:
  - Two nodes transmit start-of-frame bit
    - Nobody can detect the collision yet
  - Both nodes start transmitting message identifier
    - As soon as the identifiers differ at some bit position, the node that transmitted recessive notices and aborts the transmission.
Multiple Colliding Nodes

- node A
- node B
- node C

bus state

node A lost arbitration here
node B lost arbitration here
node C wins arbitration
Consequences:
- Nobody but the losers see the bus conflict
- Lowest identifier always wins the race
- So: Message identifiers also function as priorities

Nondestructive arbitration
- Unlike Ethernet, collisions don’t cause drops
- This is cool!

Maximum CAN utilization: ~100%
- Maximum Ethernet with CSMA/CD utilization: ~37%
Network scheduling is usually non-preemptive
- Unlike thread scheduling
- Non-preemptive scheduling means high-priority sender must wait while low-priority sends
- Short message length keeps this delay small

Worst-case transmission time for 8-byte frame with an 11-bit identifier:
- 134 bit times
- 134 µs at 1 Mbps
What happens if a CAN node goes haywire and transmits too many high priority frames?
- This can make the bus useless
- Assumed not to happen

Schemes for protecting against this have been developed but are not commonly deployed
- Most likely this happens very rarely
- CAN bus is usually managed by hardware
“FlexCan” seen on ColdFire chips

16 message buffers
- Each can be used for either transmit or receive
- Buffering helps tolerate bursty traffic

Transmission
- Both priority order and queue order are supported

Receiving
- FlexCAN unit looks for a receive buffer with matching ID
- Some ID bits can be specified as don’t cares
More FlexCan

✦ Interrupt sources
  ➢ Message buffer
    • 32 possibilities – successful transmit / receive from each of the 16 buffers
  ➢ Error
  ➢ Bus off – too many errors
**Higher Level Standards**

- **CAN leaves much unspecified**
  - How to assign identifiers?
  - Endianness of data?

- **Standardized higher-level protocols built on CAN:**
  - CANKingdom
  - CANOpen
  - DeviceNet
  - J1939
  - Smart Distributed System

- **Similar to how**
  - TCP is built in IP
  - HTTP is built in TCP
  - Etc.
Important device types are described by device profiles
- Digital and analog I/O modules
- Drives
- Sensors
- Etc.

Profiles describe how to access data, parameters, etc.
CAN Summary

- Not the cheapest network
  - E.g., LIN bus is cheaper

- Not suitable for high-bandwidth applications
  - E.g., in-car entertainment – streaming audio and video
  - MOST – Media Oriented Systems Transport

- Design point:
  - Used where reliable, timely, medium-bandwidth communication is needed
  - Real-time control of engine and other major car systems