Important From Last Time

- A system is safety critical when its failure may result in injuries or deaths
- Verification and validation can dominate overall development effort

Today

- ◆ Embedded system security
 - > General principles
 - > Examples

Computer Security

- ♦ This is a huge area
 - > Prof Kasera teaches a good course on it
- ◆ Today we are not talking about
 - > Protocol design (another huge area)
 - > Password issues
 - > Access control
 - > Cryptography (huge area)
 - > Multilevel security
 - > Network security

Old Joke

- ◆ Q: What does a secure computer system look like?
- A: It's buried in concrete, with the power turned off and the network cable cut

Embedded Security

- ◆ Main difference with respect to network security:
 - > Attacker has access to the hardware

Trusted Computing Base

- Any secure system has a trusted computing base (TCB)
 - > If the TCB operates properly, the system is secure
 - > By definition, attacks do not originate from the TCB
- ♦ Obviously a smaller TCB is better
 - $\,\succ\,$ But almost always the compiler and OS are in the TCB
- Difficult to maintain integrity of TCB when attacker has access to the hardware
- Schneier: "A 'trusted' computer does not mean a computer that is trustworthy."
- ♦ U.S. DoD: "...a system that you are forced to trust because you have no choice."

TCB Example

- ◆ From Ken Thompson's Turing Award lecture "Reflections on Trusting Trust"
- What if the compiler recognized that it was compiling the OS and inserted a trapdoor?
 - > Vulnerability not found anywhere in OS source code
- Compiler also has to recognize that it's compiling itself and add the attack code
 - > Problem not found in the compiler code either
- ◆ Not a theoretical attack this was implemented!
- ◆ Defenses against this?

Diverse Double Compilation

- The question is: Does the source code for the compiler correspond to its executable?
 - > Here we assume that any attack code in the source would be detected through auditing
- Start with a compiler C1 that may be bad, and its source code CS
- ◆ Compile CS using C1 to generate C2
- ◆ Compile CS using a totally different compiler to create C3
- ◆ Compile CS using C3 to generate C4
- If C2 and C4 are bit-for-bit identical then C1 cannot be inserting attack code

Threat Models

- Makes no sense to discuss security without a threat model
- ◆ Components of a threat model:
 - > Who is the attacker?
 - > What are the attacker's goals?
 - What are the attacker's capabilities?
- ♦ Example classification:
 - > Class 1 Casual user
 - > Class 2 Clever, motivated outsider
 - > Class 3 Knowledgeable insider
 - > Class 4 Funded organization or government

System Questions

- How long must the system remain secure while under attack?
- Does the system need to be usable during the attack?
- ◆ Does the system need to be usable after the attack?
- Does the system require human intervention to remain secure?
- ♦ What sort of ...
 - > increase in cost
 - > decrease in performance
 - > decrease in usability
- ♦ is acceptable to achieve security?

Threat Model Examples

- ♦ What are some potential threat models for:
 - > The door locks on your house?
 - Most everyday physical security systems are like this
 - > Your laptop?
 - > Your home computer?
 - > A voting machine?
 - Your bank's ATM?
 - > The GPS system?
 - > A military mobile communications system?

Pacemaker Hacks

- Pacemakers have a magnetic switch: Under a magnetic field, they turn on a radio receiver
- When the radio is on, pacemaker can be queried and reprogrammed
- Researchers at UMass used a software radio to reverse-engineer the radio protocols
- It was possible to change device settings, change or disable therapies, and deliver shocks
 - > Attacks were just replays of known signals

ATM Security

- ◆ ATMs are a good case study
 - > In wide use for several decades
 - > Substantial rewards for successful attacks
- ◆ Fact: ATMs were the "killer app" for modern cryptography
 - Earlier, crypto was a niche technology used by governments and militaries
- First: What are the threat models?

Review: Private Key Crypto

- Given a private key and a block of data, a private-key algorithm encrypts the data so that it cannot be decrypted without the key
 - > Also called "symmetric key cryptography"
- ◆ This technology is simple and efficient to implement
- ◆ DES and AES (Rijndahl) are popular examples
- Of course attackers are free to try to:
 - > Guess the key
 - > Steal the key
 - > Gain access to the unencrypted data
 - ⊳ Ftd

ATM Security Overview

- ◆ Each ATM has its own secret key
 - Entered into ATM keyboard in two parts by two bank officials
- ♦ When you use the ATM
 - Account number is read from the magnetic stripe on your card
 - > It's encrypted using the ATM's secret key
 - > Resulting encrypted value is checked against your PIN
- ◆ ATM has a "security module"
 - > Piece of trusted, tamper-proof hardware
 - > Unencrypted data never leaves this module

What Goes Wrong in ATMs

- Processing errors on the bank mainframe side cause lots of problems
 - > Error rate between 1/10,000 and 1/100,000
- ◆ Mail fraud gives attackers cards and PINs
- ◆ Fraud by bank staff in poorly-run banks
 - E.g. what could happen if both parts of an ATM key are given to a single worker?
- ♦ Encryption is single-DES
 - > Can be brute forced

More ATM Problems

- Repairman installs computer inside an ATM that sniffs and records card and PIN data
- Criminal finds PINs by looking over people's shoulders, then account numbers from receipts
- One kind of ATM would give out 10 bills when a specific 14-digit number was entered
- ◆ False terminals are used to collect lots of PINs

Physical Tamper Resistance

- ◆ Physical security is important
 - Historically, naval code books were weighted so they could be thrown overboard in event of capture
 - > Russian one-time pads were printed on cellulose nitrate
 - > Bank servers are in a guarded computer room
 - $\,\succ\,$ ATM is basically a PC in a safe with some fancy peripherals

Secure HW: IBM 4758

- History: As computers got cheaper, location-based physical security became impractical
- PINs etc. cannot be trusted to standard HW/SW
- "The IBM 4758 is a secure crypto-processor implemented on a highsecurity, programmable PCI board."



Cryptoprocessor Goals

- ◆ Critical data (keys) never leaves the device
 - > Resist sniffing attacks
 - > Resist physical attacks attacker has a logic analyzer
 - > Resist software attacks

Cryptoprocessor Features

- ◆ Robust metal enclosure
- ◆ Tamper-sensing mesh
- ◆ Key memory: Static RAM designed to be zeroed when the enclosure is opened
 - > Data is kept moving to avoid burn-in
 - > Freezing and radiation attacks difficult to foil
 - > Military systems have used self-destruct
- ◆ Trusted core is "potted" in epoxy
 - Crypto processor
 - > Key memory
 - > Tamper sensors
 - > Alarm circuitry
 - > Forces attacks to involve cutting, drilling, etc.

Smartcards

- ◆ Smartcard:
 - > Microcontroller
 - > Serial interface
 - > Packaged in a plastic card or a key-shaped device
- Tiny secure processors cannot use many features of the IBM 4758
 - However, bar is lower these aren't guarding an entire bank's resources
- ◆ Single most widespread use: GSM phones
- ♦ Why are smartcards attractive?
 - > Can validate that someone paid for something without contacting a central server

Smartcard Attacks

- ◆ Protocol attacks sometimes it enough to listen to communication between the card and world
 › Defense: Avoid stupid protocols
- ◆ Stop the card from programming EEPROM
 - Vpp is higher than Vcc, requiring a voltage multiplier or external programming power
- Slow down the processor, then read voltages from the surface of the chip
 - > Defense: Detect low clock rates
- Probe wires on the chip probing the processor bus gives both code and data values
 - > Defense: Surface mesh
- At present: Probably not feasible to build a smartcard that is secure when attacked by an equipped expert

Trusted Computing

- You need to trust Windows and Linux with any data on your computer
- However: Content providers cannot trust Windows and Linux
 - Consider the distribution of encrypted movies with software decryption in the OS kernel
- Trusted computing: Create PCs that content providers can trust
 - Said a different way: It's not really your PC
 - > Fundamentally tough problem: Give consumers the bits without giving them the bits

Trusted Computing Elements

- ◆ Endorsement key a key unique to your machine that you must not get
- Protected I/O paths data channels between processor and peripherals that cannot be altered or read
- Memory curtaining areas of RAM for trusted computing that even the OS does not have access to
- Remote attestation your computer can attest that it is your machine and has not been tampered with

More TC

- ♦ Digital rights management
- ◆ Preventing cheating in online games
- ◆ Protection from identity theft
- ♦ So... is it good?

Conclusions

- Embedded security is hard because the hardware is out in the world
- Only security experts should connect embedded systems to networks
 - > Take a good security course if you're going to do this stuff
- ♦ Non-networked systems at least have a chance