Stuff

♦ Lab is due by 5pm today

Exam 1 next Tues

> I'll be out of town so Zhe will give the exam

New lab and HW assignments after the exam

SKIPPED Power Lecture

- Software perspective on power and energy management
- Mechanisms are provided by the HW people
 - > Frequency scaling
 - > Voltage scaling
 - Sleep modes
- Analysis of HW + workload can give us ballpark estimate of whether there is a good match
- Policies are up to software
 - But it's often difficult to balance power, performance, and users' convenience

Today

Testing embedded software

- > Kinds of tests
- > When to test
- How to test
- > Test coverage

- Fact: Most multithreaded Java programs run all of their test cases perfectly well when all locking is removed
 - > What does this mean?

Testing

- Testing is the fundamental way that reliable embedded software is created
 - > This is why we can build safety-critical applications using buggy compilers!
- However, good testing techniques are neither easy or intuitive

Lots of basic questions:

- > When to test?
- > Who tests?
- > Where do test cases come from?
- How to evaluate the result of a test?
- > How much testing is enough?

The Testing Mindset

- Creating good tests for your own software is hard
 - > At least three reasons for this
- Microsoft (and other companies) separate testers from developers
 - Different skill sets
- Good testers are adversarial
 - Goal is to break the software
 - > This can lead to strained relations between developers and testers
- The best developers truly attempt to break their own code

Kinds of Tests

- Functionality testing functional behavior
- Interfaces testing interaction with other systems
- Security test for robustness to intrusion
- Standards check for compliance
- Regression
 - > Testing whether everything works after the system has been changed
 - > Test cases derived from prior failures
- Resources measuring required resources such as CPU time, memory, network bandwidth

Load and stress – trying to overload the system

Test Levels

- Hardware unit test
- Hardware integration test
- Software unit test
- Software integration test
- HW/SW integration test
- System test
- Acceptance test
- Field test

Where do tests come from?

- ♦ Use cases
- Developer intuition
- Previous failures
- Boundary cases from specification
- Stress tests
- Random inputs
- Directed random / analysis-driven inputs

When to Test

- Every combination of kind of test and test level should be run as early as is feasible
- Basic fact: Cost to fix a bug increases greatly as development progresses

Testing by Developers

Why?

- > Defects cheaper to fix when found earlier
- > High-quality parts make integration possible
- > Defects found late are hard to map back to the source code
- Some kinds of tests are only possible at the unit level
- Developers understand the implementation, which can lead to better test cases
- Quality cannot be added at the end of development
 - Has to be there from the start

Unit Testing



Integration Testing Strategies

Bottom-up

- Start with low-level modules with few dependencies
- > Exercise them using drivers

Top-down

- > Overall control structure drives tests
- Stubs provided for nonexistant modules
- "Look and feel" of the system established early

Big-bang

- > Only works for small systems
- > Useful for tightly coupled systems where top-down and bottom-up are difficult

Design for Test

Term most often used in context of hardware

> Also applies to software

How to do this?

- > Lots of assertions for preconditions and postconditions
- > Implement self-tests
- > Provide test scaffolding along with code
- > Expose all interfaces for testing
- Examples how would you design these for test?
 - Code to set PLL
 - Code responding to an external interrupt source

Test Oracles

 Test oracle – Code that tells us if the system is responding properly to tests

♦ Some oracles are easy

- Not working if the software crashes
- Not working if the software stops responding normally to inputs
- Not working if an assertion is violated
- Some oracles are very difficult
 - > E.g. is the aircraft responding properly to crosswind?
 - Manual interpretation of the specification and test results typically required

Test Coverage

- Coverage metrics try to answer the question: How can we know when to stop testing?
- Example metrics:
 - Function coverage are all functions executed?
 - Statement coverage are all statements executed?
 - Branch coverage is every possible decision executed at every branch?
 - > Path coverage is every path through the code executed?
 - > Value coverage is the full range of every variable covered?
 - Mutation coverage are all variants of the program covered?
 - Exception coverage are all exceptions signaled?
- ♦ In most cases goal is 100% coverage

Evaluating Coverage Metrics

- Coverage metric must be understood by the user
- Near-complete coverage must be achievable
 - > Exceptions require fixing or manual review
- Some action should be taken upon reaching 100% coverage

Coverage of Concurrent SW

Problem:

- > Traditional test coverage metrics are in terms of sequential software
- > Embedded software is concurrent
- What are some plausible metrics for concurrent software?
 - Interrupt nesting coverage
 - Interrupt preemption coverage
 - > Thread preemption coverage
 - > Synchronization coverage
 - Each lock "does interesting things"

Stress Testing

- Test system at the limits of (and outside) its load parameters
 - Intuition: This exposes different kinds of problems than regular test cases do
- Examples how would you stress test:
 - > Embedded web server
 - > An RTOS
 - > A cell phone
- Tricky problem: Thinking of as many sources of stress as possible

Stress Testing for Interrupts

- What bugs are we trying to find?
- ♦ How to do it?
 - > What if data comes along with the interrupt?
- How to tell when we're done?

Summary

Embedded software is only as good as its test cases

- You should assume any conditions not tested will fail
- ... because they will
- Developers perform early testing of components
 - Requires adversarial mindset
 - Requires wishful thinking to be ruthlessly suppressed
- Integration cannot possibly succeed without reliable components

Summary:

- > Test early
- > Test often
- > Test creatively