Last Time

• Cost of nearly full resources
• RAM is limited
  ➢ Think carefully about whether you use a heap
  ➢ Look carefully for stack overflow
  ➢ Especially when you have multiple threads
• Embedded C
  ➢ Extensions for device access, address spaces, saturating operations, fixed point arithmetic

Today

• Advanced interrupts
  ➢ Race conditions
  ➢ System design
  ➢ Prioritized interrupts
  ➢ Interrupt latency
  ➢ Interrupt problems:
    ➢ Stack overflow
    ➢ Overload
    ➢ Missed interrupts
    ➢ Spurious interrupts

Typical Interrupt Subsystem

• Each interrupt has a pending bit
  ➢ Logic independent of the processor core sets these bits
    ➢ E.g. ADC ready, timer expires, edge detected, etc.
    ➢ A pending bit can become set at any time
      ➢ This logic does not need to be synchronized with the MCU
• Each interrupt has a disable bit
• Processor has a global disable bit

More Interrupt Basics

• Interrupt algorithm
  ➢ If global interrupt enable bit is set, processor checks for pending interrupts prior to fetching a new instruction
    ➢ If any interrupts are pending, highest priority interrupt that is pending and enabled is selected for execution
    ➢ If an interrupt can be fired, flush the pipeline and jump to the interrupt’s handler
• Some interrupts must be acknowledged
  ➢ This clears the pending flag
  ➢ Failure to do this results in infinite interrupt loop
    ➢ Symptom: System hangs

Interrupts on ColdFire

• Interrupt controller on MCF52233 is fairly sophisticated
  ➢ Many MCUs have much simpler controllers
• Processor has a 3-bit interrupt mask in SR
• Once per instruction, processor looks for pending interrupts with priority greater than the mask value
  ➢ However, level 7 interrupts are non-maskable

ColdFire Interrupt Sequence

1. CPU enters supervisor mode
2. 8-bit vector fetched from interrupt controller
3. Vector is an index into the 256-entry exception vector table
  ➢ Vector entries are 32-bit addresses
  ➢ Vectors 0-63 are reserved, you can use 64-255
4. Push SR and PC
5. Load vector address into PC
6. Set interrupt mask to level of current interrupt
7. First instruction of interrupt handler is guaranteed to be executed
  ➢ So this would be a good place to disable interrupts, if you don’t want nested interrupts
More ColdFire

- Within an interrupt level, there are 9 priorities
- Interrupt controller has registers that permit you to assign level and priority to interrupt sources
  - In contrast, many embedded processors fix priorities at design time
- Many ColdFire processors (including ours) support two stack pointers
  - User mode and supervisor mode
  - We’re only using the supervisor stack pointer

Interrupts and Race Conditions

- Major problem with interrupts:
  - They cause *interleaving* (threads do too)
  - Interleaving is hard to think about
- First rule of writing correct interrupt-driven code
  - Disable interrupts at all times when interrupt cannot be handled properly
  - Easier said than done – interrupt-driven code is notoriously hard to get right
- When can an interrupt not be handled properly?
  - When manipulating data that the interrupt handler touches
  - When not expecting the interrupt to fire
  - Etc.

Interleaving is Tricky

```c
interrupt_3 { ... does something with x ... }
main () {
  ...
  x += 1;
  ...
}
```

- Do you want to disable interrupts while incrementing x in main()?
- How to go about deciding this in general?

However:

```c
x += 500;
```

- Translates to:
  ```
  movea.l _x,a0
  lea 500(a0),a0
  move.l a0,_x
  ```
  
- The property that matters here is atomicity
  - An atomic action is one that cannot be interrupted
  - Individual instructions are usually atomic
  - Disabling interrupts is a common way to execute a block of instructions atomically
- Question: Do we really need atomicity?
Answer: No—we need code to execute “as if” it executed atomically.
In practice, this means: Only exclude computations that matter.
Example 1: Only raise the interrupt level high enough that all interrupts that can actually interfere are disabled.
Example 2: Thread locks only prevent other threads from acquiring the same lock.
Example 3: Non-maskable interrupts cannot be masked.

Summary: Each piece of code in a system must include protection against:
- Threads
- Interrupts
- Activities on other processors
- DMA transfers
- Etc.
that might cause incorrect execution by preempting the code you are writing.

Reentrant Code
- A function is reentrant if it works when called by multiple interrupt handlers (or by main + one interrupt handler) at the same time.
- What if non-reentrant code is reentered?
- Strategies for reentrancy:
  - Put all data into stack variables
  - Disable interrupts when touching global variables
- In practice writing reentrant code is easy
  - The real problem is not realizing that a transitive call-chain reaches some non-reentrant call
  - A function is non-reentrant if it can possibly call any non-reentrant function.

System-Level Interrupt Design
- Easy way:
  - Interrupts never permitted to preempt each other
  - Interrupts permitted to run for a long time
  - Main loop disables interrupts liberally
- Hard way:
  - Interrupts prioritized – high priority can always preempt lower priority
  - Interrupts not permitted to run for long
  - Main loop disables interrupts with fine granularity
  - Pros and cons?
- Stupid way:
  - Any interrupt can preempt any other interrupt
  - ColdFire doesn’t let you do this!
  - But other processors do

Interrupt Latency
- Interrupt latency is time between interrupt line being asserted and time at which first instruction of handler runs.
- Two latencies of interest:
  - Expected latency
  - Worst-case latency
  - How to compute these?
- Sources of latency:
  - Slow instructions
  - Code running with interrupts disabled
  - Other interrupt handlers

Managing Interrupt Latency
- This is hard!
- Some strategies:
  - Nested interrupt handlers
  - Prioritized interrupts
  - Short critical sections
  - Split interrupts
- Basic idea: Low-priority code must not block time-critical interrupts for long.
Nested Interrupts

- Interrupts are *nested* if multiple interrupts may be handled concurrently
- Makes system more responsive but harder to develop and validate
  - Often much harder!
- Only makes sense in combination with prioritized interrupt scheduling
  - Nesting w/o prioritization increases latency without increasing responsiveness!
- Nested interrupts on ColdFire are easy
  - Just don’t disable interrupts in your interrupt handler
- Some ARM processors make this really difficult

Prioritizing Interrupts

- Really easy on some hardware
  - E.g. x86 and ColdFire automatically mask all interrupts of same or lower priority
- On other hardware not so easy
  - E.g. on ARM and AVR need to manually mask out lower priority interrupts before reenabling interrupts
  - Argh.

Reentrant Interrupts

- A reentrant interrupt may have multiple invocations on the stack at once
  - 99.9% of the time this is a bug
    - Programmer didn’t realize consequences of reenabling interrupts
    - Programmer recognized possibility and either ignored it or thought it was a good idea
  - 0.1% of the time reentrant interrupts make sense
    - E.g. AvrX timer interrupt
- Does ColdFire support reentrant interrupts?

Missed Interrupts

- Interrupts are not queued
  - Pending flag is a single bit
    - If interrupt is signaled when already pending, the new interrupt request is dropped
- Consequences for developers
  - Keep interrupts short
    - Minimizes probability of missed interrupts
  - Interrupt handlers should perform all work pending at the device
    - Compensates for missed interrupts

Splitting Interrupt Handlers

- Two options when handling an interrupt requires a lot of work:
  1. Run all work in the handler
  2. Make the handler fast and run the rest in a deferred context
- Splitting interrupts is tricky
  - State must be passed by hand
  - The two parts become concurrent
- There are many ways to run the deferred work
  - Background loop polls for work
  - Wake a thread to do the work
  - Windows has deferred procedure calls, Linux has tasklets and bottom-half handlers

Spurious Interrupts

- Glitches can cause interrupts for nonexistent devices to fire
  - Processor manual talks about these
- Solutions:
  - Have a default interrupt handler that either ignores the spurious interrupt or resets the system
  - Ensure that all nonexistent interrupts are permanently disabled
Interrupt Overload

- If an external interrupt fires too frequently
  - Lower-priority interrupts starved
  - Background loop starved
- Why would this happen?
  - Loose or damaged connection
  - Electrical noise
  - Malicious or buggy node on network
- Apollo 11
  - Computer reset multiple times while attempting to land on moon
  - LLM guidance computer overwhelmed by phantom radar data
  - Ground control almost aborted the landing

Potential Overload Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Max. Interrupt Freq. (Hz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>knife switch bounce</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>loose wire</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
toggle switch bounce | 1000                      |
rocker switch bounce | 1300                      |
serial port @115 kbps| 11500                     |
10 Mbps Ethernet    | 14880                     |
CAN bus             | 15000                     |
I2C bus             | 50000                     |
USB                 | 90000                     |
100 Mbps Ethernet   | 148800                    |
Gigabit Ethernet    | 1488000                   |

Preventing Interrupt Overload

- Strategies:
  - Trust the hardware not to overload
  - Don’t use interrupts – poll
  - Design the software to prevent interrupt overload
  - Design the hardware to prevent interrupt overload

Interrupt Pros

- Support very efficient systems
  - No polling – CPU only spends cycles processing work when there is work to do
  - Interrupts rapidly wake up a sleeping processor
- Support very responsive systems
  - Well-designed and well-implemented software can respond to interrupts within microseconds
Interrupt Cons

- Introduce hard problems:
  - Concurrency and reentrance
  - Missed interrupts
  - Spurious interrupts
  - Interrupt overload
- Make stack overflow harder to deal with
- Interrupt-driven codes hard to test adequately
- Achieving fast worst-case response times is difficult
- Overall:
  - Few safety-critical systems are interrupt-driven!

Summary

- Interrupts are very convenient
  - But a huge can of worms
- Interrupt handling is a whole-system design issue
  - Can’t just handle each interrupt individually
- Never write code that allows reentrant interrupts