





of itself. At RISD designers take a full year of typography, for instance.]





I asked a student to recreate some of our java color applets in Director (as shockwave files). I told him to make them look the same as the old ones. He decided to add a bit of his own design to them and the results were very disappointing. It's interesting because the functionality is exactly the same, but the change in aesthetics make it much less pleasurable to use the applets.

## Older, Java version

 Not perfect but has nice feeling. This is especially important since the concept being taught is pretty simple







## What Changed?

subtle but important aesthetic differences change pleasure of using applet. New version is too big—bad use of screen real estate causes the program to hog up a lot of the screen, has unpleasant and totally irrelevant background color (greenish) behind printer, also has too much black—not nice use of gray in the older version. Printer doesn't look realistic or diagrammatic—just like a bad 3D model, ink bottle position, printer position, paper position, and "case" for sliders. The gradient banding is annoying, the sliders look like binders not sliders and application of gradient makes the colors too black, unattractive font for CMY letters on sliders. Different treatment of slider case and printer—inconsistent style is distracting. Use of black outline on paper makes it separate from printer and seem to be floating above it





## What's Wrong

This one looked better because he used more of the original design, which was a nice one, but now his two applets don't look alike, which, for a series, is bad. And even something as subtle as the way the lights are lit up makes a big difference. Also, the use of purple around the edge of the monitor is a bad choice since it's a color applet...



