## Racket vs. Algebra

In Racket, we have a specific order for evaluating sub-expressions:

$$(+ (* 4 3) (- 8 7)) \Rightarrow (+ 12 (- 8 7)) \Rightarrow (+ 12 1)$$

In Algebra, order doesn't matter:

$$(4.3)+(8-7) \Rightarrow 12+(8-7) \Rightarrow 12+1$$

or

$$(4\cdot3)+(8-7) \Rightarrow (4\cdot3)+1 \Rightarrow 12+1$$

## Algebraic Shortcuts

In Algebra, if we see

$$f(x, y) = x$$

$$g(z) = ...$$

then we can go straight to

17

because the result of all the g calls will not be used

But why would a programmer write something like that?

## Avoiding Unnecessary Work

```
; layout-text : string w h -> pict
(define (layout-text txt w h)
  (local [(define lines
             ; lots of work to flow a paragraph
             ...)]
    (make-pict w
               h
                (lambda (dc x y))
                  ; draw paragraph lines
                  . . . ) ) ) )
(define speech (layout-text "Four score..."
                              800
                              600))
(pict-width speech)
```

## Avoiding Unnecessary Work

```
; read-all-chars : file -> list-of-char
(define (read-all-chars f)
    (if (at-eof? f)
        empty
        (cons (read-char f) (read-all-chars f))))
...
(define content (read-all-chars (open-file user-file)))
(if (equal? (first content) #\#)
        (process-file (rest content))
        (error 'parser "not a valid file"))
```

#### Recursive Definitions

```
; numbers-from : int -> list-of-int
(define (numbers-from n)
   (cons n (numbers-from (add1 n))))
...
(define nonneg (numbers-from 0))
(list-ref nonneg 10675)
```

## Lazy Evaluation

Languages like Racket, Java, and C are called eager

An expression is evaluated when it is encountered

Languages that avoid unnecessary work are called *lazy* 

An expression is evaluated only if its result is needed

## Lazy Evaluation in DrRacket

plai-lazy.plt adds a **PLAI Lazy** language to DrRacket: #lang plai-lazy

In the Choose Language... dialog, click Show Details and then Syntactic test suite coverage

(Works for both eager and lazy languages)

- Green means evaluated at least once
- Red means not yet evaluated
- Normal coloring is the same as all green

## RCFAE Interepreter in Lazy Racket

Doesn't work because result of **set-box!** is never used:

## RCFAE Interepreter in Lazy Racket

Working implementation is actually simpler:

## CFAL = Lazy FAE

## Implementing CFAL

Option #1: Run the FAE interpreter in PLAI Lazy!

arg-val never used ⇒ interp call never evaluated

## Implementing CFAL

Option #2: Use PLAI Racket and explicitly delay arg-expr interpretation

where exprV is a new kind of CFAL-Value

#### **CFAL Values**

## Forcing Evaluation for Number Operations

```
(interp | {{fun {x} {+ 1 x}} 10} | (mtSub))
           \Rightarrow error: expected numV, got exprV
   (define (num-op op op-name x y)
     (numV (op (numV-n (strict x))
               (numV-n (strict y))))
   (define (num + x y) (num - op + ' + x y))
   (define (num-x y) (num-op - '- x y))
   ; strict : CFAL-Value -> CFAL-Value
   (define (strict v)
     (type-case CFAL-Value v
       [exprV (expr ds) (strict (interp expr ds))]
       [else v]))
```

## Forcing Evaluation for Application

```
(interp | {{fun {f} {f 1}} {fun {x} {+ x 1}}}
          (mtSub))
    ; interp : CFAL DefrdSub -> CFAL-Value
    (define (interp expr ds)
      [app (fun-expr arg-expr)
           (local [(define fun-val
                     (strict (interp fun-expr ds)))
                   (define arg-val
                     (exprV arg-expr ds))]
             (interp (closureV-body fun-val)
                     (aSub (closureV-param fun-val)
                           arg-val
                           (closureV-ds fun-val))))))
```

#### Redundant Evaluation

```
{{fun {x} {+ {+ x x} {+ x x}}}}
{- {+ 4 5} {+ 8 9}}}
```

How many times is {+ 8 9} evaluated?

Since the result is always the same, we'd like to evaluate {- {+ 4 5} {+ 8 9}} at most once

#### Caching Strict Results

```
(define-type CFAL-Value
  [numV (n number?)]
  [closureV (param symbol?)
            (body CFAL?)
            (ds DefrdSub?)]
  [exprV (expr CFAL?)
         (ds DefrdSub?)
         (value (box/c (or/c false CFAL-Value?)))])
: strict : CFAL-Value -> CFAL-Value
(define (strict v)
  (type-case CFAL-Value v
    [exprV (expr ds value-box)
           (if (not (unbox value-box))
               (local [(define v (strict (interp expr ds)))]
                 (begin
                   (set-box! value-box v)
                   v))
               (unbox value-box))]
    [else v]))
```

# Fix Up Interpreter