

Identifier Address

Suppose that

`{with {x 88} {+ x y}}`

appears in a program; the body is eventually evaluated:

`{+ x y}`

where will `x` be in the substitution?

Answer: always at the beginning:

`x = 88 ...`

Identifier Address

Suppose that

`{with {y 1} {+ x y}}`

appears in a program; the body is eventually evaluated:

`{+ x y}`

where will `y` be in the substitution?

Answer: always at the beginning:

`y = 1 ...`

Identifier Address

Suppose that

```
{with {y 1}
  {with {x 2} {+ x y}}}
```

appears in a program; the body is eventually evaluated:

...

{+ x y}

where will **y** be in the substitution?

Answer: always second:

x = 2 y = 1 ...

Identifier Address

Suppose that

```
{with {y 1}
  {with {x 88} {- {+ x y} 17}}}
```

appears in a program; the body is eventually evaluated:

...

{+ x y}

where will **x** and **y** be in the substitution?

Answer: always first and second:

x = 88 y = 1 ...

Identifier Address

Suppose that

```
{with {y 1}
  {with {w 10} {with {z 9}
    {with {x 0} {+ x y}}}}}}
```

appears in a program; the body is eventually evaluated:

...

{+ x y}

where will **x** and **y** be in the substitution?

Answer: always first and fourth:

x = 0 z = 9 w = 10 y = 1 ...

Identifier Address

Suppose that

```
{with {y {with {r 9} {- r 8}}}  
  {with {w 10} {with {z {with {q 9} q}}  
    {with {x 0} {+ x y}}}}}}
```

appears in a program; the body is eventually evaluated:

...

```
{+ x y}
```

where will **x** and **y** be in the substitution?

Answer: always first and fourth:

```
x = 0   z = 9   w = 10   y = 1   ...
```

Lexical Scope

Our language is ***lexically scoped***:

- For any expression, we can tell which identifiers will have substitutions at run time
- The order of the substitutions is also predictable

Compiling FIWAE

A **compiler** can transform an **FW1AE** expression to an expression without identifiers — only lexical addresses

; compile : F1WAE ... -> CF1WAE

```
(define-type F1WAE
  [num (n number?)]
  [add (lhs F1WAE?)
       (rhs F1WAE?)]
  [sub (lhs F1WAE?)
       (rhs F1WAE?)]
  [with (name symbol?)
        (named-expr F1WAE?)
        (body F1WAE?)]
  [id (name symbol?)]
  [app (fun-name symbol?)
       (arg-expr F1WAE?)])
```

```
(define-type CF1WAE
  [cnum (n number?)]
  [cadd (lhs CF1WAE?)
        (rhs CF1WAE?)]
  [csub (lhs CF1WAE?)
        (rhs CF1WAE?)]
  [cwith (named-expr CF1WAE?)
         (body CF1WAE?)]
  [cat (pos number?)]
  [capp (fun-name symbol?)
        (arg-expr CF1WAE?)])
```


Compile Examples

(compile `1` ...) ⇒ `1`

(compile `{+ 1 2}` ...) ⇒ `{+ 1 2}`

(compile `x` ...) ⇒ *compile: free identifier*

(compile `{with {x 8} x}` ...) ⇒ `{with 8 {at 0}}`

(compile `{with {y 1} {with {x 2} {+ x y}}}` ...) ⇒ `{with 1 {with 2 {+ {at 0} {at 1}}}}`

(compile `{defun {f x} x}` ...) ⇒ `{defun f {at 0}}`

Implementing the Compiler

```
; compile : FlWAE CSub -> CF1WAE
(define (compile a-wae cs)
  (type-case FlWAE a-wae
    [num (n) (cnum n)]
    [add (l r) (cadd (compile l cs)
                    (compile r cs))]
    [sub (l r) (csub (compile l cs)
                    (compile r cs))]
    [with (named named-expr body-expr)
      (cwith (compile named-expr cs)
            (compile body-expr
                (aCSub named cs)))]
    [id (name) (cat (locate name cs))]
    [app (fun-name arg-expr)
      (capp fun-name
          (compile arg-expr cs))]))
```

Compile-Time Substitution

Mimics run-time substitutions, but without values:

```
(define-type CSub
  [mtCSub]
  [aCSub (name symbol?)
         (rest CSub?)])

; locate : symbol CSub -> number
(define (locate name cs)
  (type-case CSub cs
    [mtCSub ()
             (error 'compile "free identifier")]
    [aCSub (sub-name rest)
           (if (symbol=? name sub-name)
               0
               (+ 1 (locate name rest))))]))
```

CF1WAE Interpreter

Almost the same as **F1WAE interp**:

```
; cinterp : CF1WAE list-of-num -> num
(define (cinterp a-cwae s)
  (type-case CF1WAE a-cwae
    [cnum (n) n]
    [cadd (l r) (+ (cinterp l s) (cinterp r s))]
    [csub (l r) (- (cinterp l s) (cinterp r s))]
    [cwith (named-expr body-expr)
           (cinterp body-expr
                    cfundefs
                    (cons (cinterp named-expr cfundefs s)
                          s)))]
    [cat (pos) (list-ref s pos)]
    [capp (fun-name arg)
          (local [(define fun (lookup-cfundef fun-name cfundefs))
                  (define arg-val (cinterp arg cfundefs s))]
                (cinterp (cfundef-body fun)
                         cfundefs
                         (cons arg-val empty))))))
```

CFIWAE Versus FIWAE Interpretation

On my machine,

```
(cinterp  
  {with {x 1} {with {y 2} {with {z 3} {+ {+ x x} {+ x x}}}}}  
  empty)
```

takes about half the time of

```
(interp  
  {with {x 1} {with {y 2} {with {z 3} {+ {+ x x} {+ x x}}}}}  
  (mtSub))
```

Note: using built-in `list-ref` simulates machine array indexing, but don't take the numbers too seriously