# **Synchronization Primitives**

#### Locks

synchronized (lock) { balance += amt; }

#### Messages

(thread server)

... (channel-put deposit-ch amt) ...

#### **Transactions**

atomic { balance += amt; }

atomic marks a set of actions to appear to happen instantaneously to all other processes

Instead of stopping other processes, let everyone run until non-instantaneous state is detected

### This potential problem is called a *conflict*

Hide the problem by discarding/rewinding changes and trying again later

#### This is called an *abort*

If there was no problem, then make the changes permanent

This is called a *commit* 

Process 1	Process 2
atomic {	atomic {
a++;	d++;
b++;	e++;
C++;	f++;
}	}

No conflict: processes 1 and 2 run completely in parallel

Process 1	Process 2
atomic {	atomic {
a++;	d++;
b++;	b++;
C++;	f++;
}	}

One process may have to retry its transaction

Process 1	Process 2
atomic {	atomic {
a++;	d++;
b++;	e = b;
C++;	f++;
}	}

Depends on transaction implementation

## **Multiple Data**

Locks (and deadlock)

```
synchronized (lockA) {
  synchronized (lockB) {
    a.op(b);
    b.op(a);
}
synchronized (lockB) {
  synchronized (lockA) {
    • • •
```

# **Multiple Data**

```
Messages (and multiple managers)
(define (a-server ...)
  (sync
   (handle-evt a-request-ch
                ...)))
(define (b-server ...)
  (sync
   (handle-evt b-request-ch
                ...)
   (handle-evt a+b-request-ch
                ... a-request-ch ...)))
```

## **Multiple Data**

# Transactions (no problem) atomic { a.op(b); b.op(a); }

Transactions can fix deadlock and priority inversion

# Waiting

#### Locks

```
lock.lock();
```

```
while (q.isEmpty())
    nowFull.await();
result = q.dequeue();
```

lock.unlock();

# Waiting

#### Messages

...
(sync
(if (empty? queue)
 never-evt
 (channel-put-ev dequeue-ch
 (first queue))))

... (channel-get dequeue-ch) ...

# Waiting

```
Transactions
```

```
atomic {
    if (q.isEmpty())
        retry;
    result = q.dequeue();
}
```

retry means "try again when something changes"

# **Implementing Transactions**

*Eager* implementation:

- Perform a write immediately, but remember old value
- On abort, rewind changes (block other processes)
- On commit, discard old values

 $\Rightarrow$  transaction commits quickly

*Lazy* implementation:

- Remember pending writes, and use them for re-reads within the transaction
- On abort, discard changes (other processes continue)
- On commit, perform pending writes

 $\Rightarrow$  transaction aborts quickly

# **Implementing Transactions**

*Pessimistic* implementation:

• Watch for conflicts during transaction

 $\Rightarrow$  abort early to avoid wasted work

*Optimistic* implementation:

• Check for conflicts just before commit

 $\Rightarrow$  lower overall overhead

# **Issues with Transactions**

Transactions only work with actions that are undoable or immediate — which does not include I/O

If a transaction is too long:

- Read/write logs grow large
- The transaction may be constantly interrupted

Tracking reads and writes to detect conflicts can incur significant overhead