

Part I

Binding Constructs

```
let x = 5:  
  x + 6  
  
let f = (fun (x) :  
          x + 6) :  
  f(5)
```

Converting `let` to `lambda`

These programs are the same:

```
let x = 5:  
  x + 6  
  
(fun (x) :  
  x + 6) (5)
```

Converting `let` to `lambda`

These programs are the same:

```
let x = 5:  
  x + 6  
  
(fun (x) : x + 6) (5)
```

Converting `let` to `lambda`

These programs are the same:

```
let x = 5:  
  body  
  
(fun (x) : body) (5)
```

Converting `let` to `lambda`

These programs are the same:

```
let x = rhs:  
  body  
  
(fun (x) : body) (rhs)
```

Converting `let` to `lambda`

These programs are the same:

```
let name = rhs:  
  body  
  
(fun (name) : body) (rhs)
```

```
check: parse('let x = 5: x + 6')  
  ~is appE(funE('#x', plusE(idE('#x'), intE(6))),  
    intE(5))
```

Part 2

Syntactic Sugar and Libraries

We can add some features to Moe by changing only `parse`

```
check: parse('let x = 5: x + 6')
      ~is appE(funE('#x', plusE(idE('#x'), inte(6))),
              inte(5))
```

Language features that can be implemented this way are ***syntactic sugar***

Another example:

```
check: parse('- 3')
      ~is multE(inte(3), inte(-1))
```

Syntactic Sugar and Libraries

We can add some features to Moe by changing only `parse`

```
check: parse('let x = 5: x + 6')
      ~is appE(funE('#x', plusE(idE('#x'), intE(6))),
              intE(5))
```

Language features that can be implemented this way are ***syntactic sugar***

Another example:

```
check: parse('neg(3)')
      ~is multE(intE(3), intE(-1))
```

... but that one might be better as just a function in a ***library***:

```
let neg = (fun (n):
           n * -1):
  ....
```

Encodings

Syntactic sugar and library extensions are both are forms of **encoding**

- Mutable variables encoded as boxes:

```
check: parse('fun (x): begin: x := 1; x')
      ~is funE('#x', beginE(setboxE(idE('#x'), intE(1)),
                           unboxE(idE('#x'))))
```

```
check: parse('f(1)')
      ~is appE(unboxE(idE('#f')), boxE(intE(1)))
```

Encodings

Syntactic sugar and library extensions are both are forms of **encoding**

- Boxes encoded with mutable variables:

```
let crate = (fun (v) :  
    fun (sel) :  
        sel (fun (x) : v) (fun (x) : v := x)) :  
let uncrate = (fun (b) :  
    b (fun (x) : fun (y) : x) (0)) :  
let set_crate = (fun (b) :  
    fun (v) :  
        b (fun (x) : fun (y) : y) (v)) :  
....
```

Encodings

Syntactic sugar and library extensions are both are forms of **encoding**

- Boxes encoded with mutable variables:

```
let crate = (fun (v) :  
    fun (sel) :  
        sel (fun (x) : v) (fun (x) : v := x)) :  
let uncrate = (fun (b) :  
    b (fun (x) : fun (y) : x) (0)) :  
let set_crate = (fun (b) :  
    fun (v) :  
        b (fun (x) : fun (y) : y) (v)) :  
.... set_crate(b) (5) ....
```

Syntactic Sugar in Libraries

Some languages, like Racket and Shplait, support sugar via libraries

```
macro 'reslet ($v_id, $sto_id) = $call:
    $body':
'match $call
| res($v_id, $sto_id): $body'
```

```
fun interp(a :: Exp, env :: Env, sto :: Store):
  match a
  | ....
  | plusE(l, r):
    reslet (v_l, sto_l) = interp(l, env, sto):
      reslet (v_r, sto_r) = interp(r, env, sto_l):
        res(num_plus(v_l, v_r), sto_r)
  | ....
```

Encodings and Expressiveness

Existing constructs determine what you can encode

- No state...

... no way to encode boxes or variables

- Just definition-style **fun** for single-argument functions...

... no way to encode lambda-style **fun**

... no way to encode boxes

Encodings

Why study encodings:

- To identify language constructs that are fundamentally expressive
e.g., boxes in contrast to `let`
- To simplify `interp`
e.g., no `letE`
... but performance considerations may dominate

Part 3

Encoding Multiple Arguments

```
let f = (fun (x, y) :  
          x + y) :  
f(1, 2)
```

```
let f = (fun (x) :  
          fun (y) :  
            x + y) :  
f(1) (2)
```

Encoding Multiple Arguments

```
let f = (fun (x, y) :  
          body) :  
f(1, 2)  
  
let f = (fun (x) :  
          fun (y) :  
            body) :  
f(1) (2)
```

This transformation is called **currying**

Part 4

Encoding `if`

```
if tst  
| thn  
| els
```

Encoding `if`

```
if_proc(tst,  
        fun (d) : thn,  
        fun (d) : els)
```

Encoding `if`

```
if_proc (tst,  
        fun (d) : thn,  
        fun (d) : els) (0)
```

```
true  def = fun (x) : fun (y) : x  
false def = fun (x) : fun (y) : y
```

```
tst(fun (d) : thn) (fun (d) : els) (0)
```

Part 5

Encoding Pairs

```
cons (1, empty)
```

Encoding Pairs

```
pair(1, 0)
```

Encoding Pairs

```
pair(f, s)
```

Encoding Pairs

```
fun ... f s
```

Encoding Pairs

```
fun (sel) : sel (f) (s)
```

```
pair def = fun (x) :  
          fun (y) :  
            fun (sel) : sel (x) (y)
```

```
fst def = fun (p) : p (true)
```

```
snd def = fun (p) : p (false)
```

```
fst (pair (1) (0))
```

```
⇒ fst (fun (sel) : sel (1) (0))
```

```
⇒ (fun (sel) : sel (1) (0)) (true)
```

```
⇒ true (1) (0)
```

```
= (fun (x) : fun (y) : x) (1) (0)
```

```
⇒ (fun (y) : 1) (0)
```

```
⇒ 1
```

Part 6

λ -Calculus Grammar

```
<Exp> ::= <Symbol>  
        | <Exp> (<Exp>)  
        | fun (<Symbol>) : <Exp>
```

λ -Calculus Grammar

$\langle \text{Exp} \rangle ::= \langle \text{Symbol} \rangle$
| $\langle \text{Exp} \rangle (\langle \text{Exp} \rangle)$
| $\lambda (\langle \text{Symbol} \rangle) : \langle \text{Exp} \rangle$

$\text{true} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda (x) : \lambda (y) : x$
 $\text{false} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda (x) : \lambda (y) : y$

λ -Calculus Grammar

```
<Exp> ::= <Symbol>  
        | <Exp> (<Exp>)  
        |  $\lambda$  (<Symbol>) : <Exp>
```

```
true (a) (b)
```

λ -Calculus Grammar

```
<Exp> ::= <Symbol>  
        | <Exp> (<Exp>)  
        |  $\lambda$  (<Symbol>) : <Exp>
```

```
( $\lambda$  (x) :  $\lambda$  (y) : x) (a) (b)
```

Part 7

Encoding Numbers

`zero` $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda(x) : \lambda(y) : y$

Encoding Numbers

zero $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda (f) : \lambda (x) : x$ applies **f** to **x** zero times

one $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda (f) : \lambda (x) : f (x)$ applies **f** to **x** one time

two $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda (f) : \lambda (x) : f (f (x))$

three $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda (f) : \lambda (x) : f (f (f (x)))$

N $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda (f) : \lambda (x) : f_1 (\dots, f_N (x))$ **f** to **x** **N** times

This encoding is called **Church numerals**

Incrementing a Int

```
add1  $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda (n) : \dots$ 
```

Incrementing a Int

```
add1 def = λ (n) :  
          λ (f) :  
            λ (x) : . . . .
```

Incrementing a Int

```
add1 def = λ (n) :  
          λ (f) :  
            λ (x) : . . . . n (f) (x) . . . .
```

Incrementing a Int

```
add1  $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda (n) :$   
       $\lambda (f) :$   
       $\lambda (x) : f (n (f) (x))$ 
```

```
add1 (zero)  
 $\Rightarrow \lambda (f) :$   
       $\lambda (x) : f (zero (f) (x))$   
 $= \lambda (f) :$   
       $\lambda (x) : f ((\lambda (f) : \lambda (x) : x) (f) (x))$   
 $\Rightarrow \lambda (f) :$   
       $\lambda (x) : f (x)$   
 $= one$ 
```

Part 8

Adding Numbers

$\text{add2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda (n) : \text{add1} (\text{add1} (n))$

$\text{add3} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda (n) : \text{add1} (\text{add1} (\text{add1} (n)))$

$\text{add} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda (n) : \lambda (m) : \text{add1}_1 (\dots (\text{add1}_m (n)))$

Adding Numbers

`add2` $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda (n) : \text{add1} (\text{add1} (n))$

`add3` $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda (n) : \text{add1} (\text{add1} (\text{add1} (n)))$

`add` $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda (n) : \lambda (m) : m (\text{add1}) (n)$

... because a number m applies some function m times to an argument

```
add(one) (two)
⇒ two (add1) (one)
⇒ add1 (add1 (one))
⇒ three
```

Multiplying Numbers

```
mult  $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda (n) : \lambda (m) : \text{add}(n)_1 (\dots (\text{add}(n)_m (\text{zero})) )$ 
```

Multiplying Numbers

`mult` $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda (n) : \lambda (m) : m (\text{add } (n)) (\text{zero})$

... because `add` (*n*) is a function that adds *n* to any number

... and a number *m* applies some function *m* times to an argument

Testing for Zero

```
iszero  $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda(n) : \dots \text{true} \dots \text{false} \dots$ 
```

Testing for Zero

```
iszero def = λ(n) : n(λ(x) : false) (true)
```

because applying $(\lambda(x) : \text{false})$ zero times to **true** produces **true**,
and applying it any other number of times produces **false**

```
iszero(zero)  
⇒ zero(λ(x) : false) (true)  
⇒ true
```

Testing for Zero

```
iszero def = λ(n) : n(λ(x) : false) (true)
```

because applying $(\lambda(x) : \text{false})$ zero times to **true** produces **true**,
and applying it any other number of times produces **false**

```
iszero(one)  
⇒ one(λ(x) : false) (true)  
⇒ (λ(x) : false) (true)  
⇒ false
```

Decrementing a Int

```
sub1 def = λ (n) :  
        λ (f) :  
          λ (x) : .....
```

Decrementing a Int

```
sub1 def = λ (n) :  
        λ (f) :  
            λ (x) : . . . . n (f) (x) . . . .
```

Too late! No way to undo a call to **f**

Decrementing a Int

```
.... pair(zero) (zero)
.... pair(zero) (one)
.... pair(one) (two)
.... pair(two) (three)
....
.... pair(n-1) (n)
```

Decrementing a Int

```
shift def = λ (p) :  
        pair (snd (p)) (add1 (snd (p)))
```

```
shift (pair (zero) (zero)) ⇒ pair (zero) (one)
```

```
shift (pair (zero) (one)) ⇒ pair (one) (two)
```

```
shift (pair (n-2) (n-1)) ⇒ pair (n-1) (n)
```

```
sub1 def = λ (n) :  
        fst (n (shift) (pair (zero) (zero)))
```

And then subtraction is obvious...

Part 9

More Numbers

Negative integers: pair non-negative integer with a sign boolean

Rational numbers: pair numerator and denominator

Complex numbers: pair real and imaginary parts

Encodings

Using the minimal λ -calculus language we get

- ✓ functions
- ✓ local binding
- ✓ booleans
- ✓ numbers