- >> Data Definitions and Templates
 - Syntax and Semantics
 - Defensive Programming

Data Definitions

Question 1:

Are both of the following data definitions ok?

Yes.

Data Definitions

Question 2:

Do w-grade and z-grade identify the same set of values?

Yes, every w-grade is a w-grade, and every z-grade is a w-grade

Data Definitions

Question 3:

Are w-grade and w-grade the same data definition?

No, in the sense that they generate different templates

Data Definitions and Templates

The template depends on the *static*, *textual* content of a data definition, only

```
(define (func-for-w-grade w)
; A w-grade is either
                              (cond
; - num
; - posn
                               [(number? w) ...]
; - empty
                               [(posn? w) ... (func-for-posn w) ...]
                               [(empty? w) ...]))
; A post is
                            (define (func for-posn p)
; (make-posn num num)
                              \dots (posn-x p) \dots (posn-y p) \dots)
; A z-grade is either
                            (define (func-for-z-grade z)
                              (cond
; - num
; - (make-posn num num)
                              [(number? z) ...]
                               [(posn? z) ... (posn-x z) ... (posn-y z) ...]
; - empty
                               [(empty? z) ...]))
```

- Data Definitions and Templates
- >> Syntax and Semantics
 - Defensive Programming

Suppose that DrRacket's definition window contains

```
(define (f x)
  (/ x 2))
(f 10)
```

What's the result of clicking **Run**?

5

Suppose that DrRacket's definition window contains

```
(define (f x)
  (/ x 0))
(f 10)
```

What's the result of clicking **Run**?

```
/: divide by 0
```

Suppose that DrRacket's definition window contains

```
(define (f x)
(/ x 0))
```

What's the result of clicking Run?

Nothing (although **f** would produce an error if it were used)

Suppose that DrRacket's definition window contains

```
(define (f x)
(/ x (0)))
```

What's the result of clicking **Run**?

```
expected a name after an open parenthesis,
found a number — even without using f
```

Suppose that DrRacket's definition window contains

```
(define (f x)
  (cond x))
```

What's the result of clicking **Run**?

Suppose that DrRacket's definition window contains

```
(define (f x)
  (cond
  [false x]))
```

What's the result of clicking **Run**?

Nothing

Suppose that DrRacket's definition window contains

```
(define (f x)
  (cond
    [false x]))
(f 10)
```

What's the result of clicking **Run**?

cond: all questions were false

Errors in DrRacket

DrRacket complains about a function body

- o sometimes before the function is used
- o sometimes only when the function is called

Why?

Because some errors are **syntax errors** and some errors are **run-time errors**

Syntax Errors

A syntax error is like a question that isn't a well-formed sentence

- $\cdot f(x) = x + 0$
 - DrRacket doesn't understand this notation, just like...
- "Parlez-Vous Français?"
 - English-only speaker doesn't understand this notation
- (define (f x) (/ x (0)))
 - Parens around a zero make no sense to DrRacket, just like...
- "Does rain dog cat?"
 - Not enough verbs for this to make sense in English

When DrRacket sees a syntax error, it refuses to evaluate

Run-Time Errors

A run-time error is like a well-formed question with no answer

- · (/ 12 0)
 - A clear request to DrRacket, but no answer, just like...
- "Why are you wearing a green hat?"
 - There's no answer if I'm wearing a blue hat
- '(cond [false 10])
 - There's no reasonable choice for DrRacket, just like...
- "If you can't understand me, what's your name?"
 - No one who understands the question should answer

DrRacket evaluates around run-time errors until forced to answer

The Difference between DrRacket and English

In a (good) programming language, all errors are well-defined, and the rules are relatively simple

- DrRacket has a simple, well-defined grammar, and deviations from the grammar are syntax errors
- The reduction rules for each construct and primitive operation are well-defined, producing either a value or an error

Beginner Student Grammar

A <var> is a name, a <con> is a constant, and a prm> is an operator name A <defn> is either (define (<var> <var> ... <var>) <exp>) (define <var> <exp>) (define-struct <var> (<var> ... <var>)) A <exp> is either <var> <con> (<prm> <exp> ... <exp>) (<var> <exp> ... <exp>) (cond [<exp> <exp>] ... [<exp> <exp>]) (cond [<exp> <exp>] ... [else <exp>]) (and <exp> ... <exp>) (or <exp> ... <exp>)

Evaluation Rules: and/or

Note that

(and 7 false)

fits the grammar, but has no matching evaluation rule, so it produces a run-time error

- Data Definitions and Templates
- > Syntax and Semantics
- >> Defensive Programming

Running in DrRacket

Suppose that DrRacket's definition window contains

```
; f : num -> num
(define (f x)
    (+ x 2))
(f 'apple)
```

What's the result of clicking **Run**?

```
+: expects a <number>, given 'apple
```

But this is really a contract violation at the call to **f**

The implementer of **f** might want to clarify that this error is someone else's fault, not a bug in **f**

Defensive Programming

```
; f : num -> num
(define (real-f x)
    (+ x 2))
(define (f x)
    (cond
    [(number? x) (real-f x)]
    [else (error 'f "not a number")]))
(f 'apple)

f: not a number
```

The error function triggers a run-time error

You don't have to program defensively in this course, but it sometimes helps to defend against your own mistakes!